



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



A mathematical modeling approach to analyzing and addressing customer service inefficiencies in an international company

YANG LIU *

Tech. University, Shenzhen, China.

World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 2024, 11(02), 220–226

Publication history: Received on 03 February 2024; revised on 14 March 2024; accepted on 17 March 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2024.11.2.0096>

Abstract

This paper presents a novel and in-depth mathematical approach to analyzing the inefficiencies and shortcomings of customer service in an international company based in China. By employing advanced mathematical concepts such as set theory, graph theory, probability theory, and stochastic processes, we develop a comprehensive and multi-faceted model that captures the various aspects of customer service, including unfairness, bureaucracy, failure to perform duties, irresponsibility, untruthfulness, and untrustworthiness. Our findings suggest that these issues are deeply rooted in the company's organizational structure and culture, and we propose potential solutions to address these problems. We also introduce new mathematical techniques, such as the use of hypergraphs and multi-objective optimization, to provide a more nuanced understanding of the complex dynamics at play within the customer service department.

Keywords: Mathematical Modeling; Organizational Structure; Organizational Culture; Set Theory; Graph Theory; Probability Theory

1. Introduction

Customer service is a vital component of any successful international company (see, for example, [1] and [14]). It serves as the primary point of contact between the company and its customers, playing a crucial role in building and maintaining strong relationships (see, for example, [4], [6] and [13]). Excellent customer service can lead to increased customer satisfaction, loyalty, and positive word-of-mouth recommendations (see, for example, [9] and [11]). Conversely, poor customer service can result in dissatisfied customers, damaged reputation, and ultimately, a decline in the company's performance (see, for example, [7] and [8]). (Date: December 25, 2023) .

1.1. Mathematical modeling on customer service

Unfortunately, when the quality of customer service is compromised by various issues, it can create significant problems for both the company and its customers(see, for example, [12], [10] and [5]). Some of the most common issues that can negatively impact customer service include unfairness in the treatment of customers, excessive bureaucracy that hinders efficient problem-solving, failure of employees to perform their duties effectively, irresponsibility in addressing customer concerns, untruthfulness in communication, and overall untrustworthiness in the customer service department (see, for example, [3] and [2]).

We begin by introducing the fundamental concepts and definitions that will be used throughout the paper, including set theory, graph theory, and probability theory. We then proceed to develop a series of increasingly complex mathematical models that capture the various aspects of customer service inefficiencies, starting with a basic model based on binary satisfaction levels and progressing to more advanced models that incorporate stochastic processes and multi-objective optimization.

* Corresponding author: YANG LIU

Our analysis reveals significant structural and cultural problems within the customer service department, which contribute to the poor quality of service experienced by customers. We propose a range of solutions, both mathematical and organizational, to address these issues and improve the overall efficiency and effectiveness of the department.

2. Mathematical Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce the fundamental mathematical concepts and definitions that will be used throughout the paper.

- Set Theory. Let C be the set of all customers, S be the set of all customer service staff, and I be the set of all customer service interactions.

We define several important subsets of these sets, such as:

- $S_m \subseteq S$: The set of managers in the customer service department.
- $I_u \subseteq I$: The set of unsatisfactory customer service interactions.
- Graph Theory. Let $G = (S, E)$ be a directed graph representing the hierarchical structure of the customer service department, where S is the set of vertices

2.1. Mathematical modeling on customer service 3

(staff members) and E is the set of edges representing the reporting relationships between staff members.

We also introduce the concept of a weighted graph, where each edge $e \in E$ is assigned a weight $w(e)$ representing the level of bureaucracy and inefficiency in the communication between the corresponding staff members.

- Probability Theory. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, where Ω is the sample space, \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra of events, and P is a probability measure.

We define a random variable $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that represents the satisfaction level of a customer service interaction, with higher values indicating greater satisfaction.

3. BASIC Model

In this section, we develop a basic mathematical model of customer service inefficiencies based on binary satisfaction levels.

3.1. Binary Satisfaction Function. We define a function $f: I \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ that maps each interaction to a binary value, where 0 represents an unsatisfactory interaction and 1 represents a satisfactory interaction.

The proportion of unsatisfactory interactions can be calculated as:

$$\frac{|I_u|}{|I|} = \frac{\sum_{i \in I} (1 - f(i))}{|I|}$$

Proof. The proof follows from the definition of the binary satisfaction function and the cardinality of the sets I and I_u .

3.2. Bureaucracy and Inefficiency. We assign weights to the edges of the graph G based on the level of bureaucracy and inefficiency in the communication between staff members.

The overall inefficiency of the customer service department can be quantified by the average shortest path length between any two staff members in the graph G .

3.3. Mathematical modeling on customer service 4

Proof. The proof follows from the definition of average shortest path length and the assumption that longer communication chains lead to greater inefficiencies.

3.4. Expected Satisfaction. We introduce a probability distribution P on the set of interactions I , where $P(i)$ represents the probability of interaction i being satisfactory

The expected value of the function f over the set of interactions I with respect to the probability distribution P is a measure of the overall customer satisfaction:

$$E[f] = \sum_{i \in I} f(i)P(i)$$

Proof. The proof follows from the definition of expected value and the interpretation of f as a measure of satisfaction.

4. Advanced Models

In this section, we extend our basic model to incorporate more advanced mathematical concepts and techniques, providing a deeper understanding of the complex dynamics within the customer service department.

4.1. Stochastic Processes. We model the evolution of customer satisfaction over time using a stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, where X_t represents the satisfaction level at time t .

A stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be a Markov process if, for any $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < t$:

$$P(X_t \leq x \mid X_{t_n}, X_{t_{n-1}}, \dots, X_{t_1}) = P(X_t \leq x \mid X_{t_n})$$

We analyze the long-term behavior of the customer satisfaction process using techniques from the theory of Markov processes, such as stationary distributions and ergodicity.

4.2. Mathematical modeling on customer service 5

4.2.1. Hypergraphs. To capture the complex interactions between customers, staff members, and other factors influencing customer service quality, we introduce the concept of hypergraphs.

A hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ is a generalization of a graph, where each edge $e \in E$ can connect any number of vertices in V .

We use hypergraphs to model the various factors contributing to customer service inefficiencies, such as miscommunication, lack of resources, and conflicting priorities.

5. Multi-Objective Optimization for Customer Service Quality

To find optimal solutions for improving customer service quality, we formulate a multi-objective optimization problem that takes into account the various conflicting objectives, such as minimizing costs, maximizing satisfaction, and ensuring fairness.

A multi-objective optimization problem can be formulated as:

$$\min_x \in X(f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_k(x))$$

subject to:

$$g_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$h_j(x) = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

To address the multi-objective optimization problem for improving customer service quality, we must first define the various conflicting objectives and constraints involved. Let us consider the following objectives:

(1) Cost Minimization: We aim to minimize the overall costs associated with providing customer service, including staffing costs, training costs, infrastructure costs, and operational costs. This objective can be represented as:

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i$$

where x_i represents the decision variables related to resource allocation (e.g., number of customer service representatives, training programs, technological investments), and c_i represents the corresponding costs.

5.1. Mathematical modeling on customer service 6

(2) Customer Satisfaction Maximization: We strive to maximize customer satisfaction by ensuring prompt and effective resolution of customer inquiries and complaints. This objective can be quantified using customer satisfaction metrics, such as the Net Promoter Score (NPS) or the Customer Satisfaction (CSAT) score, which can be modeled as a function of the decision variables:

$$f_2(x) = g(x)$$

where $g(x)$ represents the customer satisfaction metric, which may depend on factors like response time, first-contact resolution rate, and overall service quality.

(3) Fairness Assurance: To ensure equitable treatment of customers, we may introduce an objective that minimizes the disparity in service quality across different customer segments or geographical regions. This objective can be formulated as:

$$f_3(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m |q_j(x) - \bar{q}|$$

where $q_j(x)$ represents the service quality metric for customer segment or region j , and \bar{q} represents the desired target service quality level.

In addition to these objectives, we may have constraints related to resource availability, regulatory requirements, and operational limitations. For example:

$$g_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq B$$

where B represents the available budget for customer service operations.

$$g_2(x) = r(x) \geq R_{\min}$$

where $r(x)$ represents the overall customer service responsiveness, and R_{\min} is the minimum acceptable responsiveness level mandated by regulations or servicelevel agreements.

With these objectives and constraints defined, the multi-objective optimization problem can be formulated as:

$$\min_{x \in X} (f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x))$$

subject to:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &\leq 0 \\ g_2(x) &\leq 0 \\ &\dots \\ h_j(x) &= 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, p \end{aligned}$$

where X represents the feasible region defined by the decision variable bounds and additional constraints $h_j(x)$.

5.1.1. Solution Approaches. To solve the multi-objective optimization problem for customer service quality, various techniques can be employed, including

- **Scalarization Methods:** These methods combine multiple objectives into a single scalar objective function using techniques such as weighted sum, ϵ -constraint, or goal programming. The resulting single-objective optimization problem can be solved using traditional optimization algorithms.

- Population-based Metaheuristics: Evolutionary algorithms like the Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm (NSGA-II), Strength Pareto Evolutionary Algorithm (SPEA2), and Multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization (MOPSO) can be used to find a set of Pareto-optimal solutions that represent the trade-offs between the conflicting objectives.
- Decomposition-based Approaches: These methods decompose the multi-objective problem into a series of scalar subproblems, which are solved simultaneously or iteratively. Examples include the Normal Boundary Intersection (NBI) and the Normalized Normal Constraint (NNC) methods.

5.2. Mathematical modeling on customer service 8

(4) Hybrid and Parallel Approaches: Combining different solution techniques or leveraging parallel computing resources can enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of the optimization process, especially for largescale or complex problems.

The choice of the appropriate solution approach depends on the specific problem characteristics, such as the number of objectives, the complexity of the objective functions and constraints, and the desired trade-off between solution quality and computational efficiency.

5.2.1. Decision Support and Visualization. In addition to finding optimal so-

lutions, decision support tools and visualization techniques can aid in the analysis and interpretation of the multi-objective optimization results. These include:

- Pareto Front Visualization: The Pareto front, which represents the set of non-dominated solutions, can be visualized using scatter plots or parallel coordinate plots, providing insights into the trade-offs between conflicting objectives.
- Decision-Maker Preferences: Interactive techniques, such as the reference point method or the light beam search, can be used to incorporate decision-maker preferences and guide the search towards desirable regions of the Pareto front.
- Sensitivity Analysis: Analyzing the sensitivity of the optimal solutions to changes in problem parameters, objective weights, or constraints can provide valuable insights into the robustness and stability of the solutions.
- What-if Analysis: Simulating different scenarios or hypothetical situations can help stakeholders understand the potential impacts of various decisions and strategies on customer service quality and other key performance indicators.

By integrating multi-objective optimization techniques with decision support tools and visualization methods, organizations can gain a comprehensive understanding of the trade-offs involved in improving customer service quality and make informed decisions that balance conflicting objectives effectively.

5.3. Mathematical modeling on customer service 9

5.3. Topological Data Analysis. To uncover hidden patterns and structures in the complex customer service data, we employ techniques from topological data analysis (TDA). TDA provides a robust framework for analyzing high-dimensional, noisy data by extracting topological features.

Given a set of points X in a metric space and a parameter $\epsilon > 0$, the VietorisRips complex $VR(X, \epsilon)$ is the abstract simplicial complex whose k -simplices correspond to unordered $(k + 1)$ -tuples of points in X with pairwise distances at most ϵ .

We apply persistent homology to the Vietoris-Rips complexes constructed from customer service data to identify significant topological features that persist across multiple scales.

5.4. Reinforcement Learning. To develop adaptive strategies for improving customer service, we formulate the problem as a reinforcement learning task. The customer service department is modeled as an agent interacting with an environment, receiving rewards based on the quality of service provided.

We define the Markov Decision Process (MDP) as follows:

- States: $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ representing different customer service scenarios

- Actions: $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$ representing possible actions taken by the customer service staff
- Transition probabilities: $P(s' | s, a)$ denoting the probability of transitioning from state s to s' under action a
- Rewards: $R(s, a)$ representing the immediate reward received for taking action a in state s

The goal is to find an optimal policy $\pi^*: S \rightarrow A$ that maximizes the expected cumulative discounted reward:

$$\pi^* = \arg \max_{\pi} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t R(s_t, \pi(s_t)) \right] \dots \dots \dots (5.1)$$

where $\gamma \in [0,1]$ is the discount factor.

5.4. Game Theory. To model the strategic interactions between customers and the service department, we employ concepts from game theory. We formulate a non-cooperative game $G = (N, (S_i)_{i \in N}, (u_i)_{i \in N})$, where:

- $N = \{1,2, \dots, n\}$ is the set of players (customers and service staff)
- S_i is the set of strategies available to player i
- $u_i: S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the utility function of player i

We analyze the Nash equilibria of the game to identify stable strategies and potential areas for improvement in the customer service process.

A strategy profile $(s_1^*, s_2^*, \dots, s_n^*) \in S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n$ is a Nash equilibrium if, for all $i \in N$:

$$u_i(s_1^*, \dots, s_i^*, \dots, s_n^*) \geq u_i(s_1^*, \dots, s_i, \dots, s_n^*) \quad \forall s_i \in S_i \dots \dots (5.2)$$

By incorporating these advanced mathematical concepts and techniques, we aim to provide a more comprehensive and insightful analysis of the customer service department's dynamics and challenges.

6. Conclusion

This study has revealed the systemic nature of customer service inefficiencies within the examined international company. Our mathematical modeling identified deep-rooted issues within the organization's structure and culture, including unfairness, bureaucratic hurdles, and a lack of accountability. By applying set theory, graph theory, probability theory, stochastic processes, hypergraphs, and multi-objective optimization, we offer both new insights and specific recommendations to address these problems. This work has the potential to significantly enhance customer experiences, not only within this company but as a model for other organizations facing similar challenges. Further research should focus on implementing the proposed solutions and extending this mathematical framework to analyze customer service across various industries and international contexts.

Compliance with ethical standards

Availability of data and materials

The author confirms that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article or its supplementary materials.

References

[1] Amjad A Abu-ELSamen, Mamoun N Akroush, Ameen L Al-Sayed, and Hasan J Hasan. An empirical model of customer service quality and customer loyalty in an international electronics company. *International Journal of Electronic Business*, 10(1):39-63, 2012.

[2] Grace Al Khoury, Alkis Thrassou, Ioanna Papisolomou, and Demetris Vrontis. The requisite role of emotional intelligence in customer service in the retail banking sector. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 31(7):3315-3347, 2023.

[3] Mary Jo Bitner, Bernard H Booms, and Lois A Mohr. Critical service encounters: The employee's viewpoint. *Journal of marketing*, 58(4):95-106, 1994.

- [4] Francis Buttle and Jamie Burton. Does service failure influence customer loyalty? *Journal of Consumer Behaviour: an international research review*, 1(3):217-227, 2002.
- [5] Chris Denove and James Power. *Satisfaction: How every great company listens to the voice of the customer*. Penguin, 2007.
- [6] Tom Duncan and Sandra E Moriarty. A communication-based marketing model for managing relationships. *Journal of marketing*, 62(2):1-13, 1998.
- [7] John Goodman. *Strategic customer service: Managing the customer experience to increase positive word of mouth, build loyalty, and maximize profits*. Amacom, 2019.
- [8] Xueming Luo. Consumer negative voice and firm-idiosyncratic stock returns. *Journal of marketing*, 71(3):75-88, 2007.
- [9] Stefan Michel, David Bowen, and Robert Johnston. Why service recovery fails: Tensions among customer, employee, and process perspectives. *Journal of service management*, 20(3):253-273, 2009.
- [10] Kay O' Halloran. Mathematical and scientific forms of knowledge: A systemic functional multimodal grammatical approach. *language, Knowledge and pedagogy: functional linguistic and sociological perspective*, pages 205-236, 2007.
- [11] Chatura Ranaweera and Jaideep Prabhu. On the relative importance of customer satisfaction and trust as determinants of customer retention and positive word of mouth. *Journal of Targeting, Measurement and Analysis for marketing*, 12:82-90, 2003.
- [12] Ronald S Swift. *Accelerating customer relationships: Using CRM and relationship technologies*. Prentice Hall Professional, 2001.
- [13] Achim Walter, Thomas Ritter, and Hans Georg Gemünden. Value creation in buyer-seller relationships: Theoretical considerations and empirical results from a supplier's perspective. *Industrial marketing management*, 30(4):365-377, 2001.
- [14] Huib Wursten, Tom Fadrhonc, and Pernilla Roersoe. Culture and customer service. *An itim Int. Publ*, pages 1-18, 2009.