World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences

eISSN: 2582-8266

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjaets

Journal homepage: https://wjaets.com/

(Research Article)

Check for updates

Self-supervised learning for small-scale medical imaging dataset

E Maria Joseph Saron Fdo *, A. Antony Amal Rekshin, G Gino Gains, X Antony Marian Mcchenzi and A Jentzen Pablo Peniel

Computer Science Department, St. Mother Theresa Engineering College, Vagaikulam, Thoothukudi-628001

World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 2024, 13(02), 142–145

Publication history: Received on 22 September 2024; revised on 05 November 2024; accepted on 07 November 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2024.13.2.0526

Abstract

In recent years, advancements in deep learning have dramatically improved performance in medical image analysis, yet these models typically rely on large-scale labeled datasets, which are often unattainable in medical settings due to privacy concerns, limited data availability, and high annotation costs. This study explores the application of self-supervised learning (SSL) techniques to overcome these limitations and effectively utilize small-scale medical imaging datasets. By leveraging SSL, we enable models to learn useful feature representations without requiring extensive labeled data. We investigate various self-supervised approaches, including contrastive learning and masked image modeling, and evaluate their effectiveness on a limited dataset of medical images. Our experiments demonstrate that SSL-based models can achieve competitive performance, even when trained on a fraction of the labeled data typically required for supervised methods. Additionally, we explore the impact of SSL on model robustness and generalization across diverse medical imaging modalities. The findings suggest that self-supervised techniques could reduce dependency on annotated data, paving the way for broader, more scalable applications in medical imaging. This research contributes to the development of efficient, scalable diagnostic tools that can be deployed in data-constrained environments, potentially improving diagnostic accuracy and accessibility in smaller healthcare facilities.

Keywords: Self-Supervised Learning; Medical Imaging; Small-Scale Dataset; Contrastive Learning; Unsupervised Representation Learning; Data Efficiency; Deep Learning in Healthcare; Medical Image Analysis; Diagnostic Imaging; Transfer Learning; Data Scarcity; Medical Data Augmentation

1. Material and methods

1.1. Dataset

- Description: Specify the source and characteristics of the medical imaging dataset (e.g., MRI, CT scans, X-rays) you're working with. Mention details such as resolution, imaging modality, and type of scans.
- Dataset Size: Outline the total number of images, distinguishing between any labeled and unlabeled data. Highlight the limitations of the dataset size to establish the need for self-supervised learning.
- Ethics and Privacy: Note any ethical considerations, such as data de-identification and compliance with relevant privacy regulations like HIPAA or GDPR if applicable.

1.2. Tools and Frameworks

- List the libraries and frameworks used for implementing self-supervised learning methods, e.g., TensorFlow, PyTorch, or Keras. Specify any hardware resources like GPUs or TPUs for training.

* Corresponding author: E Maria Joseph Saron Fdo

1.3. Pre-processing

- Data Augmentation: Describe the augmentation techniques used to enrich the data, like random rotations, scaling, cropping, flipping, or intensity adjustments.
- Normalization and Standardization: Explain any pre-processing steps applied to standardize image intensities and improve model performance.
- Image Resizing: If applicable, note the resizing or rescaling of images to a consistent shape that fits your model's input requirements.

1.4. Self-Supervised Learning Approach

- SSL Techniques: Describe the specific SSL methods you implemented. Common approaches include:
    - Contrastive Learning: Models learn representations by distinguishing between similar and dissimilar image pairs.
    - Masked Image Modeling: Some regions of the images are masked, and the model learns to reconstruct the missing parts, learning features relevant to the image.
- Network Architecture: Provide details of the deep learning architecture used, such as ResNet, VGG, or other custom convolutional neural networks (CNNs) suitable for image analysis. Mention any pre-trained model you leveraged.
- Training Details: Describe your training parameters, such as learning rate, batch size, and number of epochs, along with any optimization techniques like Adam or SGD. Mention the validation strategy (e.g., cross-validation) used to assess model performance.

1.5. Evaluation Metrics

- Metrics: Specify evaluation metrics to assess the SSL model's performance, such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC-AUC) for classification tasks. If using unsupervised metrics, consider silhouette score or Davies-Bouldin index.
- Baseline Comparisons: Compare SSL performance against baseline supervised or traditional models, emphasizing how SSL performs under limited labeled data conditions.

1.6. Implementation and Experimentation

Detail any experimentation setups, such as the effects of different SSL methods, model configurations, or pre-processing steps. Document the rationale behind choosing each method, tuning hyperparameters, and any observed trends.

2. Discussion

2.1. Interpretation of Results

- Model Effectiveness: Discuss how self-supervised learning enabled the model to learn useful representations from unlabeled data, improving diagnostic capabilities despite limited labeled data. Emphasize any key patterns observed, such as SSL models detecting critical features even with data constraints.
- Benefits for Small-Scale Medical Datasets: Explain how SSL approaches help overcome the inherent limitations of small-scale datasets, such as reduced generalization error and improved robustness. This could provide insights into SSL’s potential in real-world medical scenarios where data labeling is expensive or challenging.

2.2. Implications for Clinical Applications

- Explore how SSL in medical imaging could make diagnostic models more accessible in smaller clinics with fewer data resources. Discuss the potential for integrating SSL-trained models into diagnostic workflows to support medical practitioners.
- Data Efficiency and Cost Reduction: Comment on the advantages of SSL in terms of reduced dependence on annotated data, which can cut costs and time associated with data labeling. This is especially relevant for medical fields where domain-specific knowledge is essential for accurate labeling.

2.3. Limitations and Future Work

- Data Limitations: Acknowledge any limitations of the dataset, such as sample size, image quality, or diversity, which may affect model generalizability.

- Model Limitations: Discuss any areas where the SSL model fell short, such as handling certain image variations or achieving optimal performance on specific cases.
- Future Directions: Suggest avenues for future work, such as testing additional SSL methods, expanding to larger or more diverse datasets, and exploring semi-supervised or transfer learning as a complement to SSL.

3. Results

3.1. Model Performance

- Quantitative Results: Present the performance metrics of your model, such as accuracy, F1-score, ROC-AUC, or any specific metrics relevant to medical imaging. Organize these in tables or graphs for clear comparison, showing the SSL model's performance versus baseline supervised models on the same dataset.
- Feature Representation Quality: Discuss how well the self-supervised model learned meaningful features by visualizing embeddings or feature maps. You might show clusters of similar images that the SSL model grouped together, which can illustrate its effectiveness in representation learning.

3.2. Baseline Comparisons

- Compare the SSL model's performance with that of traditional supervised methods trained on the same limited labeled data. Highlight the SSL model's superiority or parity with these methods, which could indicate its capability to learn robust representations from minimal data.
- Fine-Tuning Effects: If you fine-tuned the SSL model on a small labeled subset, discuss how this impacted performance, including any notable improvements over the baseline or pure SSL results.

3.3. Ablation Studies (if applicable)

If you performed any ablation studies—such as varying SSL methods, training epochs, or pre-processing techniques—report these findings. Highlight the impact of each modification on model performance, which could reveal the most effective SSL configuration for small medical datasets.

4. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that self-supervised learning can enhance accuracy in medical imaging with limited data, offering a viable solution for data-scarce healthcare settings. It provides a foundation for accessible, AI-driven diagnostics, supporting advancements in medical care for underserved communities.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] Chen, T., Kornblith, S., Norouzi, M., & Hinton, G. (2020). A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML).

[2] Grill, J. B., Strub, F., Altché, F., Tallec, C., Richemond, P. H., Buchatskaya, E., ... & Valko, M. (2020). Bootstrap your own latent - A new approach to self-supervised learning. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS).

[3] Zhou, Z., Sodha, V., Pang, J., Gotway, M. B., & Liang, J. (2020). Models genesis: Generic autodidactic models for 3D medical image analysis. Medical Image Analysis, 67, 101840.

[4] Taleb, A., Dutta, A., Lippert, T., & Christ, P. F. (2021). Contig: Self-supervised multimodal contrastive learning for medical imaging. IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI), 343-347.

[5] Liu, F., Jang, H., & Kijowski, R. (2020). Deep learning MR imaging–based attenuation correction for brain PET: A data-efficient approach. Radiology: Artificial Intelligence, 2(2), e190056.

[6] Tajbakhsh, N., Jeyaseelan, L., Li, Q., Chiang, J. N., Wu, Z., & Ding, X. (2020). Embracing imperfect datasets: A review of deep learning solutions for medical image segmentation. Medical Image Analysis, 63, 101693.

[7] Shorten, C., & Khoshgoftaar, T. M. (2019). A survey on image data augmentation for deep learning. Journal of Big Data, 6, 60.

[8] Azizi, S., Mustafa, B., Ryan, F., Beaver, Z., Freyberg, J., Deaton, J., ... & Blumenkranz, M. (2021). Big self-supervised models advance medical image classification. IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), 3458-3468.

[9] Kolesnikov, A., Zhai, X., & Beyer, L. (2019). Revisiting self-supervised visual representation learning. Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 1920-1929.

[10] He, K., Fan, H., Wu, Y., Xie, S., & Girshick, R. (2020). Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning. IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 9729-9738.