

World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences

eISSN: 2582-8266 Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjaets Journal homepage: https://wjaets.com/



(RESEARCH ARTICLE)



Convolutional neural network for data augmentation

Rachel Kim * and Emily White

Department of Computer Science, Stanford University, California, USA.

World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 2024, 13(02), 870-886

Publication History: Received on 04 October 2024; revised on 20 November 2024; accepted on 24 November 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2024.13.2.0528

Abstract

In deep learning, the triumph of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) depends significantly on whether large and varied datasets are available. In most real-world applications, obtaining enormous amounts of labeled data is either time-consuming, costly, or unfeasible. Data augmentation has become a principal technique to artificially enlarge training sets by generating novel data instances by applying various transformations. Traditional augmentation methods, such as rotation, flipping, scaling, and cropping, cannot produce adequately diverse and semantically rich data. To fight this limitation, this study delves into using CNNs as a tool for sophisticated data augmentation.

The primary objective of this research is to explore and evaluate the prospects of CNN-based data augmentation techniques for improving the generalization performance of deep learning models. We propose a CNN-based data augmentation framework using learned features to create synthetic but realistic image data. This involves using deep generative models, transfer learning, and feature-space transformations instead of conventional augmentation techniques for data augmentation.

Experiments were conducted on benchmark image datasets MNIST and CIFAR-10 for comparing models learned from traditional and CNN-augmented data. The result is a remarkable classification accuracy and robustness boost when CNN-based augmentation is applied. Particularly noteworthy in the current context is that the augmented datasets produced more informative and diverse samples, their overfitting suppression was reinforced, and model generalization improved.

Our findings illustrate the potential of CNNs to transform data augmentation and optimization in automating. Not only can this approach improve model performance, but it also reduces the need for human data annotation. The implications are particularly valuable in sparse-annotated data domains, such as medical imaging and autonomous driving systems. Future research will integrate CNN augmentation with adversarial training and semi-supervised learning to improve learning efficiency and robustness in low-data regimes.

Keywords: Convolutional Neural Networks; Data Augmentation; Deep Learning; Image Generation; Synthetic Data; Generalization

1. Introduction

1.1. Background of CNNs in Deep Learning

Convolutional Neural Networks are specialized deep learning models emulating the human brain's vision processing pathway. They perform optimally with image data since they can take advantage of spatial locality through convolutional operations. The most significant building blocks of CNNs are convolutional layers that convolve input images using filters, activation functions introducing non-linearity, pooling layers reducing spatial dimensions, and fully

^{*} Corresponding author: Rachel Kim

Copyright © 2024 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0.

connected layers projecting learned features onto output classes. CNNs have been breaking performance records yearly in the past decade for various computer vision tasks. From the 2012 revolution AlexNet model up to current architectures like ResNet, DenseNet, and EfficientNet, CNNs have become deeper, more complicated, and more accurate. These enhancements are founded on the assumption that sufficient training data exists. Without adequate data, CNNs do not generalize and perform suboptimally and overfit.



Figure 1 Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) Architecture Explained

1.2. Data Augmentation's Role in Training Neural Networks

In supervised learning, the amount and quality of labeled training data directly impact the capacity of neural networks to generalize. In actual applications, data sets may be small due to some events' privacy restrictions, expense, or sparsity. Such scarcity can impair the capacity of the network to learn and lead to bad generalization of new data. Data augmentation is a simple way of addressing this issue, such as artificially inflating the training set. Common data augmentation methods involve the application of rotations, translations, flips, scaling, brightness adjustments, and cropping of existing data samples. These are applied to replicate variability that is likely to be faced by a model in real life and thus introduce robustness at the expense of overfitting. Data augmentation successfully increases dataset diversity without additional labeled data and has seen widespread use in tasks like face recognition, medical image analysis, and self-driving car navigation.

Generalized augmentation algorithms are inherently restricted in that they operate within the input space and do not consider complex variations or contextual comprehension. They are not automatically designed for typical cases and can miss variability in natural data. Moreover, unselective processing can compromise information integrity for use in applications like medical imaging, leading to faulty interpretation. This constraint underscores the need for more intelligent, autonomous, and semantically informed augmentation methods to support improved model training in conditions of data scarcity.

1.3. Data Scarcity and Overfitting Issues

Deep networks, particularly CNNs, are highly effective but rely largely on enormous quantities of annotated data. Shortage is generally a problem in most real-world applications, however. Shortage is due to several reasons, such as costly annotation, privacy limitations, and the likelihood that rare events might rarely take place. In medicine, specialist annotation of medical images is time-consuming and expensive. In applications such as surveillance or planetary exploration, acquiring representative data may be logistically challenging and costly. Overfitting is faced when training CNNs using small datasets because the models tend to overfit on training examples rather than learning patterns that are generalizable enough to be applied to other settings. Overfitting not only decreases test performance but also decreases the model's real-world performance under dynamic operating conditions.

The second problem faced when using small datasets is a class imbalance, wherein the prominent classes are abundant, and the others are less represented. This results in the learning becoming biased, where the model favors the prominent classes and labels the minority classes incorrectly. Traditional data augmentation methods attempt to overcome these issues, but their determinism and specificity break down when coming up with diverse and representative examples. More advanced augmentation methods that can produce semantic-consistent synthetic quality data are necessary. This

involves turning towards more learned, dynamic augmentation methods for the data, whereby the CNNs themselves are used to perform or provide guidance regarding the augmentation.

1.4. Role of CNNs in Data Augmentation Enrichment

Convolutional Neural Networks, whose results have been primarily for detection and classification, are increasingly being considered for how they can help data augmentation.

While heuristic- or rule-based transforms are fixed and limited to simple, often hand-crafted transforms, CNNs can learn complex, data-dependent transforms with more semantics and spatial structure preserved. One of the most exciting directions is hybridizing CNNs with generative models. Deep convolutional autoencoders, for instance, learn data summaries and create new variations by altering latent variables. Similarly, CNNs are tasked to operate in Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) when the generator, in this case, is usually a CNN and produces new examples of data that cannot be differentiated from real data. These CNN-based approaches allow new samples to be synthesized to expand the training set and deliver valuable variability that enhances model robustness. Beyond generative models, CNNs can be used to learn augmentation policies, for example, in auto-augmentation systems like Auto-Augment and Rand-Augment.

These approaches use CNNs to discover the best augmentation policies leading to the best performance on validation sets. These methods replace learned policies with explicit trial-and-error with the manual process, minimizing augmentation and increasing efficiency. CNN also possesses the ability to carry out domain adaptation by transferring data from another domain to a target domain, thereby augmenting datasets where the source and target domains are not the same. This is extremely useful for cross-domain learning tasks where data is well labeled in one domain but not abundant in another. Other than image-based augmentation, CNN-based augmentation has also been extended to video, audio, and tabular data, demonstrating the method's versatility.

Using the capability of CNN architectures to learn abstract and hierarchical representations, researchers developed augmentation methods beyond pixel-level perturbations to feature-level perturbations and learned manifold explorations. These approaches also led to significant improvement in generalization, especially under low-shot and low-resource settings, demonstrating the capability of CNNs to contribute to data augmentation positively. 1.5 Research Objectives and Contributions

The primary objective of this research is to investigate the potential of using CNN-based data augmentation methods in addressing problems of the lack of adequate data and overfitting issues in deep learning models.

It is motivated by the performance limitations of traditional augmentation techniques and by the increasing necessity for intelligent, scalable solutions in data-limited environments. With the critical comparison of the performance of CNNbased augmentation models over benchmark sets, this paper proposes to shed some light on the benefits, trade-offs, and running implications of using CNNs in data augmentation. In particular, the paper introduces a novel scheme of augmentation based on CNNs to learn and apply context-conditioned transformations to generate improved augmented data-enhancing model generalization.

The scheme applies convolutional autoencoders and adversarial training to produce high-quality samples. Baseline datasets such as CIFAR-10 and MNIST are experimented with, and performance is benchmarked against baseline augmentation methods. Metrics such as accuracy of classification, F1-score, robustness to noise, and visual similarity of the generated samples are utilized for measuring the impact of the proposed approach. Evidence of the fact that CNNs can indeed augment data effectively to improve the performance of deep learning models is presented in this paper.

It also presents recommendations on designing and building CNN-based augmentation systems, best practices, and possible pitfalls. The paper's findings are directly relevant to domains where data are scarce or expensive, such as medicine, environmental monitoring, and factory inspection. The paper also lays the ground for future research in automated policy learning towards augmentation, incorporation in semi-supervised learning algorithms, and multimodal data.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Classical Data Augmentation Techniques

Early data augmentation techniques have been proposed due to the necessity of raising the number and diversity of training examples without modifying the semantic information in the original data. In image classification tasks, these are geometric and photometric transformations consisting of rotation, flipping, scaling, cropping, translation, and color jittering. They were operations that added variability to the data set by creating new image samples while leaving the class labels unchanged. For instance, left mirroring an image does not usually alter the object's class. Still, it reveals the object with a different visual appearance to enable the model to learn invariant features.



Figure 2 Data Augmentation in Classification and Segmentation

Despite such conventional augmentation techniques being so extensively applied and shown to be valuable for generalization improvement, they are restricted in their reliance on pre-specified transformations. They can't learn from the data itself and possess minimal ability to mimic real-world variation. These techniques can also fail in more subtle changes or domain-specific changes. As more complex data sets were handled, the limitations of the conventional augmentation techniques were more evident, prompting the introduction of learning-based or CNN-based techniques.

2.2. CNNs in Generative Data Augmentation

Using CNNs in data augmentation was a radical change from fixed-rule processing to learned representation. CNNs, by construction, are best for finding hierarchical patterns in data and, therefore, excel at discriminative and generative tasks. In data augmentation, CNNs have been used as classifiers and as generators of synthetic, new data instances characteristic of the training set distribution.

One of the strongest techniques in CNN data augmentation is the application of generative models such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) and variational autoencoders (VAEs). Both models are derived from CNN architecture as

the foundation for modeling complex data distributions, generating new samples that preserve class semantics, and introducing new variations. GANs, for instance, consist of a generator and discriminator implemented with CNN layers. The generator is trained to generate realistic images, and the discriminator is trained to distinguish between real and synthetic data. This adversarial training enables the generation of high-quality images that diversify the training set with new, unseen examples.

CNNs have also been utilized for feature-space augmentation, wherein rather than generating new images, learned feature-space representations of CNNs are combined or perturbed to simulate new data instances. Although not generative, techniques such as Mix-up and Cut_Mix take inspiration from the inner workings of CNNs and try to interpolate between samples in the latent space to robustify the model.

In addition to standalone CNN-based generation, transfer learning has enabled augmentation by enabling pre-trained CNNs to discover valuable features with little data. They are utilized to synthesize new data or guide the augmentation process. This is particularly useful where data is scarce because the pre-trained CNNs are educated priors that more intelligently guide augmentation strategies than hand-engineered transformations.

2.3. Recent Advances and Empirical Research

Several recent papers explored CNN-based data augmentation in various application areas and demonstrated their effectiveness in improving model performance. CNN-based GANs generated additional radiographic images for medical image analysis tasks to train diagnostic classifiers. The paper presented improved classification accuracy and generalization, especially when the original dataset was highly imbalanced or contained rare conditions. For example, Frid-Adar et al. (2018) used a GAN-based augmentation pipeline to generate liver lesion images, resulting in significantly better sensitivity and specificity for classification.

In autonomous driving and traffic scene perception, augmentation through CNN-based modeling has been utilized to simulate various environmental conditions such as illumination, weather, and occlusion. Zhang et al. (2020) suggested a CNN-based method that transformed image features into simulating varied driving scenes and thus improved object detection systems.

A further research direction has been expanding data for low-resource languages in NLP by converting audio or text data into spectrograms or image-like representations that CNNs can process. This cross-domain application shows the power of CNN-based augmentation to extend beyond conventional image datasets.

Empirical evaluations always reveal that models trained on CNN-augmented data are better than those taught using traditional methods. There are gains in accuracy, recall, and generalization errors. Furthermore, CNN-based augmentations are found to stabilize model training by introducing controlled randomness that more closely approximates real-world variability than fixed augmentations.

2.4. Existing Literature Gaps

Despite the motivating advances, several important gaps exist in the existing literature on data augmentation with CNN. Firstly, while CNNs are traditionally beloved and admired for producing natural-looking data, the diversity and quality of the samples that they make are suspect, especially where the original set is not sufficiently big for the reliable distribution over it to be attained. This problem is exacerbated in cases where a model overfits the noise in the small data rather than overfitting to learning generalizable patterns.

Second, most current work compares CNN-based models using standard performance measures such as accuracy or F1score without much regard for other considerations such as interpretability, adversarial robustness, or domain generalization. There is also little work on how synthetic data will affect fairness or introduce bias, particularly for sensitive uses such as facial recognition or medical diagnosis.

Additionally, there are no shared frameworks or recipes for CNN-based augmentation. Researchers and practitioners create task-specific custom solutions, which results in a fragmented knowledge base. The absence of benchmark protocols for assessing CNN-augmented datasets makes comparison between studies even more difficult.

Lastly, the computational cost of training generative CNN models hinders large-scale adoption, particularly in budgetconstrained settings. Training GANs, for instance, is very computationally expensive and involves tuning, which may not always be feasible. All these necessitate more efficient, interpretable, and standardized versions of augmentation whose strength leverages the potential of CNNs without undue complexity.

2.5. The Need for CNN-Specific Augmentation Pipelines

Given the above gaps and limitations, there is a dire need to create CNN-specific data augmentation pipelines that are transferable and effective. The pipelines must be easily integrated into existing deep-learning workflows with plug-and-play capability without requiring extensive tuning or domain expertise. Such pipelines would learn from the nature of the input data and automatically decide upon the best augmentation methods.

The creation of CNN-specific augmentation tools should also emphasize explainability so that researchers understand and have control over the type of variations being added to the dataset. This is especially important for sensitive domains where data integrity must be preserved. For example, augmentations must not influence key diagnostic features when increasing data diversity for biomedical uses.



Figure 3 CNN Augmentation Pipelines

The modularity of these augmentation pipelines is another important aspect. Modular design allows reuse or replace modules such as data generators, discriminators, or feature transformers, depending on the task. The modular design promotes reusability and accelerates experimentation, making it possible to iterate more quickly in research and application development.

Furthermore, CNN pipelines should incorporate dynamic augmentation mechanisms wherein the nature and extent of augmentation evolve with training based on the model's performance. This would avoid the problem of augmentation either overwhelming learning or becoming useless. Techniques such as reinforcement learning and meta-learning can be utilized to learn augmentation policies using model feedback adaptively.

3. Methodology

3.1. Research Framework

The experiment used an augmentation approach in which CNN-based augmentation was incorporated into a typical deep-learning pipeline to test its effect on model performance. The process involved two main steps: data generation using CNN-driven augmentation and model training on the augmented data set. During the first phase, CNNs were not only classifiers but also generative models capable of learning advanced feature representation with sparse data and generating new, realistic examples of data. These artificial examples were appended to the original dataset to form a dense training set. During the second phase, a baseline classifier was trained on both the original and the CNN-extended datasets. Comparative analysis between models trained on original and augmented data has been performed to analyze whether accuracy, generalization, and robustness improvement can be achieved.

The pipeline was iterative and modular, starting with preprocessing data, feature extraction through pre-trained or selfdeveloped CNN models, and ending with data generation. The synthesized data produced were visually and statistically verified before being utilized to feed the training pipeline. The setup guaranteed a controlled environment to evaluate the effect of CNN-based data augmentation and its overcompensation effect on other conventional augmentation schemes.

3.2. Dataset Description

Three popular image datasets were chosen to achieve generalizability and reliability: MNIST, CIFAR-10, and ImageNet. Each of the three datasets was unique and was used for specific purposes in validating the CNN-based data augmentation techniques.

The MNIST database comprises 70,000 grayscale handwritten digits images of handwritten digits, for which there are 10 classes. The sizes of the images are 28x28 pixels. MNIST was used to test the baseline performance of the CNN-based augmentation framework because it is easy and has low dimensions. Performance on this dataset provided information on the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm operating in the event of low input complexity.

CIFAR-10 60,000 32x32 pixel-colored images dataset for 10 classes was used to test the framework on more sophisticated and colorful images. Unlike MNIST, the CIFAR-10 dataset includes real-world objects such as vehicles, birds, and boats. The dataset was used as an intermediate complexity benchmark to test the ability of CNN-augmented data to enhance object recognition tasks.

ImageNet, with over 14 million labeled images in 20,000 categories, was selectively utilized due to its computationally expensive nature. A subset of ImageNet was used to experiment with the scalability of the CNN-based data augmentation method to high-dimensional and semantically rich datasets. The subset allowed us to study how CNNs would fare with more variability in object description and background noise.

All the datasets passed through a preprocessing pipeline, which included normalization, resizing, and noise removal to prepare them for use with the CNN architectures. Class balance was also maintained by using stratified sampling, thereby keeping the class imbalances introduced through augmentation at bay.

3.3. CNN Architecture

The basis of the augmentation framework was a set of CNN architectures chosen or tuned based on how well they can learn hierarchical feature representations. Two broad types of CNN architectures were employed: transfer learning with pre-trained models and specifically designed CNNs for specific datasets.



Figure 4 The Architecture of Convolutional Neural Network

A lightweight CNN from scratch was implemented for MNIST. It consisted of three convolutional layers with ReLU activation, max-pooling layers, and a fully connected output layer. The architecture was minimal and lightweight because MNIST images are low-resolution. For CIFAR-10, a deeper CNN with the VGGNet architecture was used, consisting of 13 layers, including convolutional, pooling, and fully connected layers. For the ImageNet subset, ResNet-50 was used because it has been effective in large-scale image classification tasks. Its residual connections also facilitated training deeper networks without the vanishing gradient problem.

Hyperparameter tuning was a critical aspect of designing the CNN architecture. Learning rate, batch size, epochs, dropout values, and optimizer types were tuned using both grid search and Bayesian optimization. The highest accuracy on CIFAR-10 and MNIST was achieved with Adam optimizer, batch size 64, and initial learning rate 0.001. Stochastic gradient descent with momentum was applied to ImageNet since it provided more stable convergence on bigger data sets.

Weight initialization methods such as Xavier and He were utilized depending on the activation functions. Learning rate schedules and early stopping were also used to avoid overfitting and improve training efficiency. The trained CNN models, aside from being used for classification, were even used for data generation in augmentation.

3.4. Augmentation Strategy

The improvement strategy was aimed at using CNNs to generate novel data samples that preserved the original images' semantic characteristics with additional useful variations. This was achieved through various mechanisms, including feature-space perturbation, adversarial learning, and style transfer.

In feature-space perturbation, the middle-layer activations of the CNN were perturbed to generate variations in feature maps. These varied features were passed through the decoder component of an autoencoder or generative subnetwork to create new images. This allowed us to generate data that had required class features but were varied in texture, orientation, or background.

Adversarial augmentation was employed using Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) techniques. CNNs were applied in a GAN setup where the generator generated fake samples, and a discriminator evaluated their authenticity. Leverage the generative capability of CNNs under an adversarial setup, and the system could generate high-quality and diverse samples that tended to outclass normal augmentation on visual quality.

The second approach utilized style transfer techniques, where content from source images was combined with the style of uncorrelated photos using CNNs. This allowed diverse augmented data with various backgrounds, textures, and illumination to be created, raising the training set's diversity level.

Classic augmentation techniques such as rotation, flipping, and cropping were also employed for comparison at a baseline level. In contrast to such deterministic transformations, CNN-based augmentation produced learned variations richer in semantics and more context-dependent. Comparison experiments indicated that the data produced by CNN not only increased the size of the training set but also introduced deeper feature variability in richness, thus improving the model generalization during the testing.

3.5. Metrics for Evaluation

Various alternative evaluation measures were employed to assess the impact of CNN-based data augmentation comprehensively. Recorded both classification performance and qualitative features of the synthetic data were these measures. The performance of the main model was principal classification accuracy on the held-out test. F1-score was also obtained to tradeoff precision and recall, especially when classes are not evenly uniformly distributed.

Generalization performance was measured by computing training and test accuracy difference. The lower the difference, the higher the model trained on augmented data generalizability to novel samples. Robustness was measured by injecting noise or adversarial perturbations into test samples and viewing the resulting classification accuracy. Models trained using CNN-augmented data were more robust to such perturbations, meaning better feature learning.

Quantitative were supplemented with visual inspections. Randomly sampled synthetic samples were paired with original images for plotting to add realism and diversity. FID scores were calculated when GAN-based augmentation was employed to quantify the similarity of original and synthetic image distributions. Lower values indicated improved visual fidelity and improved approximation with real data.

Besides, model convergence performance and training time were monitored to investigate computational efficiency. Although CNN-based augmentation was more time-consuming than traditional methods, the downstream training process tended to converge faster owing to the informativeness of the augmented data. The same analysis tradeoff of preprocessing cost against training benefit was also incorporated in the overall assessment.

Cross-validation, wherever applied, ensured that the results were not based on the dataset or because of random splits. The stability of the performance improvement across many folds ensured the reliability and robustness of the CNN-based augmentation technique.

4. Results

4.1. Comparative Performance Analysis

To evaluate the performance impact of CNN-based augmentation, classification models were trained on original datasets, datasets with traditional augmentation (e.g., flips, rotations), and datasets augmented using CNN-based techniques. The table below summarizes the classification accuracy, F1-score, and robustness index across different datasets.

| Dataset | Augmentation Type | Accuracy (%) | F1-Score | Robustness Index |
|----------|--------------------------|--------------|----------|------------------|
| MNIST | None | 97.88 | 0.977 | 0.85 |
| MNIST | Traditional Augmentation | 98.52 | 0.985 | 0.87 |
| MNIST | CNN-Based Augmentation | 99.18 | 0.992 | 0.94 |
| CIFAR-10 | None | 81.25 | 0.802 | 0.73 |
| CIFAR-10 | Traditional Augmentation | 85.67 | 0.851 | 0.76 |
| CIFAR-10 | CNN-Based Augmentation | 89.91 | 0.891 | 0.84 |
| ImageNet | Traditional Augmentation | 68.43 | 0.674 | 0.62 |
| ImageNet | CNN-Based Augmentation | 72.38 | 0.723 | 0.71 |

Table 1 Performance Comparison of Models with Different Augmentation Methods Across Datasets

The results clearly demonstrate a significant improvement in classification performance when CNN-based augmentation is employed. For all datasets, models trained with CNN-augmented data achieved the highest accuracy and F1-score. The robustness index, which quantifies a model's stability under noise or adversarial input, also increased consistently under the CNN-based augmentation condition.

4.2. Improvement in Performance Metrics

The performance improvements achieved on the various datasets are attributed to the semantically richer and more diverse training data provided by the CNN-synthesized samples. Unlike traditional geometric augmentation, which relies on transformation-based methods, CNN-based methods synthesize samples that closely mimic complex patterns and inter-class variability. This causes the model to pay attention to rare features and edge cases during training.

In the MNIST dataset, the CNN-augmented model was superior in exhibiting more variability of handwritten digit examples such as slanted, curved, and censored digits. Therefore, the trained model recorded a higher F1-score of 0.992, 0.007 higher than the conventionally augmented model. Although this may seem minute, in high-performance models, infinitesimal gains can manifest substantial gains in generalization capacity.

CIFAR-10 demonstrated even more gains. The CNN-enhanced model scored 89.91% compared to 85.67% with conventional approaches. This is more than 4% higher and shows how CNN can create realistic but varied images that enable the model to differentiate between visually similar classes, such as dogs and cats or trucks and cars. The increase in the robustness index also indicated the model's ability to handle noise and distortions, perhaps due to heterogeneity prevalent in images produced by CNN.

In the ImageNet subset with high-dimensional and content-heavy images, CNN-based augmentation allowed the freedom to retain global structure while incorporating stylistic variability. This led to an accuracy improvement of 3.95%, indicating that the method is generalizing effectively to more difficult datasets.

4.3. Visualization of CNN-Augmented Data

A set of synthesized samples was demonstrated to accompany training samples to conduct a qualitative analysis of the data generated by CNN-based augmentation. For the MNIST data set, the CNN-generated digits' stroke thickness, curvature, and direction varied slightly from class identity. However, these were learned patterns in the latent feature space and not random, still maintaining the semantic integrity of the digits.

In CIFAR-10, the samples generated contained more variations in lighting and textures but had the general structure of an object. Generated airplane images contained wing size variation and background color variation but remained distinguishable to belong to the same class. The addition of these variations made the model invariant to texture variation and position variation.

Visual inspection of ImageNet-augmented samples showed promising outcomes in maintaining content and background variation. A CNN trained on style transfer rules can also generate examples of animals and objects with new environments, e.g., diverse natural scenes or lighting conditions, thus increasing the ecological validity of training samples. All the visualizations were performed so that images generated by CNN were not simple copies of training samples but new ones imbued with acquired class-specific characteristics. These visual results confirmed the ability of CNN to enhance datasets with informative, diverse, and high-quality training data.

4.4. Ablation Studies

Ablation studies were conducted on the CIFAR-10 dataset to identify the individual contributions of different CNNbased augmentation components. Three versions of the data augmentation pipeline were tested: feature-space perturbation only, GAN-based generation only, and combined CNN-augmentation. The performance of models trained on each was then evaluated.

Table 2 Performance Comparison of Different Augmentation Variants in Terms of Accuracy, F1-Score, and RobustnessIndex

| Augmentation Variant | Accuracy (%) | F1-Score | Robustness Index |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------|------------------|
| Feature-Space Perturbation | 87.12 | 0.871 | 0.79 |
| GAN-Based Generation | 88.43 | 0.882 | 0.81 |
| Combined CNN-Augmentation | 89.91 | 0.891 | 0.84 |

5. Discussion

5.1. Interpretation of Results

This research's Experimental findings reveal that CNN-augmented data learn much better accuracy, strength, and generalization ability for deep learning models. Models trained on CNN-based transformation-augmented data sets always outperformed those trained on normal augmentation. This reveals that CNNs can learn and generate new data samples visually and even semantically rich.

One of the main findings was that employing CNN for dataset augmentation provided greater diversity among training samples, facilitating the models in learning data distribution more efficiently. Higher diversity resulted in less overfitting, which can be observed from the reduction in the difference between training and validation accuracy. The fine-grained features and structural information in the augmented samples were not feasible with the normal methods of rotation or flipping. Specifically, this was true for datasets such as CIFAR-10, whose object classes change moderately in shape and texture.

In addition, CNN-based augmentation enabled higher stability of the models with different hyperparameter settings. Across combinations of training and test rounds, models trained on CNN-augmented data exhibited less variance in performance. Such stability is indicative that CNN augmentation makes it possible to develop more robust and stable

models. The capability of CNNs to produce patterns, particularly in architecture like variational autoencoders or styletransfer networks, enables the synthetic creation of complex patterns that enrich the feature space without sacrificing class accuracy.

The highlight of our work was a visual examination of the generated data. The samples were visually coherent to the human observer, retaining considerable features of their original class while inducing new structural changes. In other words, CNNs, capable of processing in the feature space rather than just the pixel space, can output more context-sensitive augmentations. The effect was more noticeable in low-data regimes when standard augmentation could not yield good learning signals. Under such settings, CNN-augmented training yielded better recall and accuracy at great sizes.

5.2. Benefits of CNN-based Augmentation

CNN-based data augmentation employed here has several advantages over conventional ones. One advantage is the capacity of CNNs to learn hierarchical representations of data. Unlike traditional methods using generic, hand-defined transformations, CNNs generate new samples by performing transformations on learned features while learning. This enables the generation of new data points with class semantics preserved and variation resembling real-world scenarios.

The other important advantage is the flexibility of CNN-based approaches. They can be designed to suit the nature of the respective dataset or domain area. For example, style-transfer networks can make the data appear more pleasing by manipulating colors and textures at the cost of geometric coherence, which is very useful in medical imaging domains where visual coherence is very important. Similarly, CNNs can be trained to focus on class-specific transformations so that augmented data does not depart from context.



Figure 5 Benefit of Data Augmentation

CNN augmentation also enhances domain adaptation. CNNs can synthesize data between the source and target domains in cross-domain tasks. This has aided in improving the adaptability of transfer learning outcomes because models trained using CNN-augmented data from the source domain demonstrate enhanced adaptability when used in novel environments such as generalizability. With domain-invariant feature learning, CNNs provide data synthesis that improves generalizability.

Furthermore, CNN-based augmentation facilitates automation. Trained CNNs can produce an unbounded quantity of synthetic data with little or no human effort. Such scalability is beneficial when there are machine learning pipelines with large volumes where human curation of the data is time-consuming. The process also maintains consistency in generated data and minimizes induced bias by human augmentation processes.

Third, CNN augmentation is highly compatible with current paradigms in deep learning. It can be easily added to training pipelines to facilitate end-to-end optimization. Data generation as the bottleneck does not hold for current architectures when executed with computing hardware or platforms like GPU.

5.3. Weaknesses and Challenges

Although it is useful, CNN-based data augmentation is not without weaknesses. One of the greatest drawbacks is training and employing CNNs for data augmentation at cost. Synthetic data generation of good quality by CNNs is computationintensive and requires a lot of memory, leading to a large memory allocation, large training times, and considerable hardware requirements. This is particularly true with deep generative models such as conditional GANs or autoencoders that introduce layers to the data flow.

The other significant disadvantage is the risk of vulnerability to fitting spurious artificial patterns. When the CNN employed for augmentation is badly trained on a small dataset or fails to generalize, augmented samples can unwittingly reinforce spurious relationships or noise in data. This will result in poorer performance by the model if it's ultimately deployed using out-of-sample or real-world data. The integrity of the augmented data is a byproduct of the integrity of the CNN model, and it can be very devastating if there are flaws in model training or design.

The issue of the interpretability of samples created also exists. Unlike the traditional augmentation techniques, whose transformation procedure is clear, data generated by CNN may be less easy to authenticate or check. Researchers and practitioners may find it hard to discern how new samples were derived and whether they truly are genuine deviations in the source data. This tends to increase the debugging and validation process, especially in high-stakes applications such as medical diagnosis or forensic authenticity.

Also, using CNNs for data augmentation adds additional hyperparameters and model configurations, making training excessively heavy. Selecting an appropriate architecture, hyperparameter tuning, and verifying the result requires considerable know-how. Such complexity could hinder use for practitioners with insufficient machine learning background.

A second risk taken is the introduction of unintended bias. Where the CNN employed for augmentation has inherent biases, these are bound to manifest or even be amplified in the generated data. That is an ethical concern, especially where fairness and accountability are top concerns. Ensuring diversity, balance, and fairness in CNN-augmented data sets is no run-of-the-mill affair involving extensive, careful consideration and inquiry.

5.4. Implications for Future Applications

This study's successful findings and consequences offer directions toward future uses of CNN-based data augmentation, particularly in fields afflicted with data scarcity, coarse variability or where accuracy is painstakingly mandatory. Medical imaging is one field where annotated data is normally developed judiciously because getting experts to tag the data is expensive and laborious. CNN-enhanced data can be used to create diverse and representative datasets that improve the quality of diagnostic models and reduce over-dependence on unusual or hard-to-acquire real-world images. This could facilitate the creation of computer-aided diagnosis systems and enhance the early detection of diseases.

Another exciting application is autonomous vehicles. In autonomous driving, models must be trained to navigate various environmental conditions and unanticipated situations. CNN-based augmentation can create synthetic data mimicking real-world-like scenarios with varied lighting, weather, and traffic conditions and thus prepare models for situations inadequately represented in initial data. This feature increases safety and robustness, which are essential for deployment.

The approach can be applied to rare event identification, such as fraud detection, cyber attack detection, and equipment failure prediction. In all of these instances, examples of the class are typically rare, thus creating class imbalance problems. CNNs are applied to generate rare event samples for model sensitivity and to prevent false negatives. This has far-reaching consequences in risk management, public security, and operating reliability. EduTech and student-personalized systems can further be augmented with the CNN boost by generating synthetic data simulating varied student engagement or learning modality. This will help to train responsive systems with improved responsiveness to personal specs, improving equality of opportunity in learning.

In additional research, integrating CNN-augmentation with adversarial training methods can enhance model robustness even more by exposing it to challenging or boundary data points. Similarly, integrating data produced by CNNs with

semi-supervised or self-supervised learning methods can make the most out of unlabeled data to the best possible extent, increasing the chances of training high-performance models in low-resource scenarios.

6. Conclusion

This research has examined the use of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) in data augmentation methods, showing how valuable they are to enhance the performance of deep learning models by supplying varied and semantically similar training data. The research focused on studying CNN-based methods and their superiority over traditional methods in creating semantically similar synthetic samples to enhance model robustness and generalization. Our empirical results on benchmark datasets, such as CIFAR-10 and MNIST, consistently discovered that CNN-augmented trained models were more precise, stable, and robust for different training iterations. Our results witness the revolutionary potential of CNNs not just as feature extractors in deep learning pipelines but as high-quality data generators that overcome the gap in challenges with data sparsity, class imbalance, and overfitting.

Its primary contribution is the demonstration that well-trained CNNs inserted into augmentation pipelines can produce new but accurate data samples, augmenting the learning capacity of neural networks without any human intervention. By making data augmentation an intelligent, model-based generation mechanism rather than a manual, heuristic-based one, the approach renders a paradigm shift to machine learning's pre-processing tasks. Further, the paper establishes how CNNs can be adapted to accommodate specific domains by using their capacity for learning deep hierarchies of features and then going on to make the produced data more suitable to capture domain-specific differences beyond that which would have been achievable with conventional augmentation. The research methodology, which involved deploying architectural flexibility and strict performance measurement, supports the argument that CNN-augmented datasets are not just contributing in quantity but actually increasing the intrinsic quality of training data.

In real-world scenarios, the application of CNN data augmentation extends very far to numerous imperative domains wherein obtaining annotated data is difficult, expensive, or time-consuming. In medical science, CNN can generate variant images of medical inputs mimicking conditions of rare disease states, hence facilitating the designing of more sensitive diagnostic equipment. In the autonomous driving sector, where safety and flexibility are paramount, CNN-generated synthetic data can make models compatible with various environmental and scenario parameters. In security applications based on surveillance and fraud verification, CNN-generated data can create unusual but valuable scenarios, enabling models to detect anomalies or threats. These actual applications justify the usefulness of CNN-based enhancement and illustrate its worth in enabling sound decision-making under high-stakes environments.

While the research hints at many benefits, it also identifies the computational complexity and disadvantages of CNNbased augmentation. Model training to generate realistic data is domain- and resource-intensive when hyperparameters are fine-tuned, and synthesized data does not introduce biases or contaminate original class semantics. Nevertheless, CNN-augmented dataset contribution towards corpus expansion and training corpora augmentation cannot be overstated. Automated generation of diverse data removes most of the challenges researchers and practitioners go through to get high-quality data, enabling the deployment and effectiveness of AI systems in datapoor situations.

From such observations, the future can focus on optimizing CNN architectures to utilize augmentation exclusively. Exploring hybrid models combining CNNs with other generative models, such as Generative Adversarial Networks or transformers, can also enhance diversity and realism in generated samples. Additional work can also explore adaptive augmentation methods where CNNs dynamically change their augmentation behavior based on the evolving requirements of the training process. Another possible area is embedding CNN-based augmentation into semi-supervised and self-supervised learning paradigms, realizing the best of both worlds regarding labeled and unlabeled data when human labeling is not feasible. Concurrently, the ethics and interpretability of synthetic data need to be investigated to ensure that they are being utilized responsibly, particularly when applied to sensitive areas.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Krizhevsky, A., & Hinton, G. (2009). Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images. *Technical report*, Citeseer.
- [2] Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., & Hinton, G. E. (2012). ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*.
- [3] Kumar Singh, K., & Jae Lee, Y. (2017). Hide-and-seek: Forcing a network to be meticulous for weakly-supervised object and action localization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*.
- [4] Li, W., Zhao, R., Xiao, T., & Wang, X. (2014). DeepReID: Deep filter pairing neural network for person reidentification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [5] Murdock, C., Li, Z., Zhou, H., & Duerig, T. (2016). Blockout: Dynamic model selection for hierarchical deep networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [6] Ristani, E., Solera, F., Zou, R., Cucchiara, R., & Tomasi, C. (2016). Performance measures and a data set for multitarget, multi-camera tracking. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision Workshops (ECCVW)*.
- [7] Simonyan, K., & Zisserman, A. (2015). Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- [8] Srivastava, N., Hinton, G. E., Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., & Salakhutdinov, R. (2014). Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, *15*, 1929–1958.
- [9] Sun, Y., Zheng, L., Deng, W., & Wang, S. (2017). SVDNet for pedestrian retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*.
- [10] Szegedy, C., Vanhoucke, V., Ioffe, S., Shlens, J., & Wojna, Z. (2016). Rethinking the inception architecture for computer vision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [11] Wan, L., Zeiler, M., Zhang, S., LeCun, Y., & Fergus, R. (2013). Regularization of neural networks using DropConnect. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*.
- [12] Wang, X., Shrivastava, A., & Gupta, A. (2017). A-fast-RCNN: Hard positive generation via adversary for object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [13] Xiao, H., Rasul, K., & Vollgraf, R. (2017). Fashion-MNIST: A novel image dataset for benchmarking machine learning algorithms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.07747*.
- [14] Xie, L., Wang, J., Wei, Z., Wang, M., & Tian, Q. (2016). DisturbLabel: Regularizing CNN on the loss layer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [15] Xie, S., Girshick, R., Dollár, P., Tu, Z., & He, K. (2017). Aggregated residual transformations for deep neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [16] Zagoruyko, S., & Komodakis, N. (2016). Wide residual networks. In *Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC)*.
- [17] Zeiler, M. D., & Fergus, R. (2013). Stochastic pooling for regularization of deep convolutional neural networks. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- [18] Zhang, C., Bengio, S., Hardt, M., Recht, B., & Vinyals, O. (2017). Understanding deep learning requires rethinking generalization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- [19] Zheng, L., Shen, L., Tian, L., Wang, S., Wang, J., & Tian, Q. (2015). Scalable person re-identification: A benchmark. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*.
- [20] Zheng, L., Yang, Y., & Hauptmann, A. G. (2016). Person re-identification: Past, present and future. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.02984*.
- [21] Zheng, Z., Zheng, L., & Yang, Y. (2017). Unlabeled samples generated by GAN improve the person re-identification baseline in vitro. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*.
- [22] Deng, J., Dong, W., Socher, R., Li, L.-J., Li, K., & Fei-Fei, L. (2009). ImageNet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [23] DeVries, T., & Taylor, G. W. (2017). Improved regularization of convolutional neural networks with Cutout. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1708.04552.

- [24] Everingham, M., Van Gool, L., Williams, C. K. I., Winn, J., & Zisserman, A. (2010). The Pascal visual object classes (VOC) challenge. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, *88*(2), 303–338.
- [25] Zitnick, C. L., & Dollár, P. (2014). Edge boxes: Locating object proposals from edges. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)* (pp. 391–405). Zurich, Switzerland.
- [26] Beattie, C., Leibo, J. Z., Teplyashin, D., Ward, T., Wainwright, M., Küttler, H., Lefrancq, A., Green, S., Valdés, V., Sadik, A., Schrittwieser, J., Anderson, K., York, S., Cant, M., Cain, A., Bolton, A., Gaffney, S., King, H., Hassabis, D., Legg, S., & Petersen, S. (2016). DeepMind Lab. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.03801*.
- [27] Redmon, J., Divvala, S., Girshick, R., & Farhadi, A. (2016). You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) (pp. 779–788). Las Vegas, NV.
- [28] Liu, W., Anguelov, D., Erhan, D., Szegedy, C., Reed, S., Fu, C.-Y., & Berg, A. C. (2016). SSD: Single shot multibox detector. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)* (pp. 21–37). Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- [29] Romberg, S., García Pueyo, L., Lienhart, R., & van Zwol, R. (2011). Scalable logo recognition in real-world images. In *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Multimedia Retrieval* (pp. 251–258). Trento, Italy.
- [30] Paulin, M., Revaud, J., Harchaoui, Z., Perronnin, F., & Schmid, C. (2014). Transformation pursuit for image classification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)* (pp. 3646–3653). Columbus, OH.
- [31] Xu, J., Vázquez, D., López, A. M., Marín, J., & Ponsa, D. (2014). Learning a part-based pedestrian detector in virtual world. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, *15*(5), 2121–2131.
- [32] Jaderberg, M., Simonyan, K., Vedaldi, A., & Zisserman, A. (2016). Reading text in the wild with convolutional neural networks. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, *116*(1), 1–20.
- [33] Esfahani, Shirin Nasr, and Shahram Latifi. "A Survey of State-of-The-Art GAN-Based Approaches to Image Synthesis." 9th International Conference on Computer Science, Engineering and Applications (CCSEA 2019), 13 July 2019, csitcp.com/paper/9/99csit06.pdf, https://doi.org/10.5121/csit.2019.90906.
- [34] Nabati, R., & Qi, H. (2019). "RRPN: Radar Region Proposal Network for Object Detection in Autonomous Vehicles." 2019 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), Taipei, Taiwan, 2019, pp. 3093-3097, doi: 10.1109/ICIP.2019.8803392.
- [35] Rawat, W., & Wang, Z. (2017). "Deep Convolutional Neural Networks for Image Classification: A Comprehensive Review." Neural Computation, 29(9), pp. 2352-2449, Sept. 2017, doi: 10.1162/neco_a_00990.
- [36] Wang, Weibin, et al. "Medical Image Classification Using Deep Learning." Intelligent Systems Reference Library, 19 Nov. 2019, pp. 33–51, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-32606-7_3.
- [37] Alom, Md Zahangir, et al. "The History Began from AlexNet: A Comprehensive Survey on Deep Learning Approaches." ArXiv:1803.01164 [Cs], 12 Sept. 2018, arxiv.org/abs/1803.01164.
- [38] Frid-Adar, Maayan, et al. "GAN-Based Synthetic Medical Image Augmentation for Increased CNN Performance in Liver Lesion Classification." Neurocomputing, vol. 321, Dec. 2018, pp. 321–331, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2018.09.013.
- [39] Karp, Rafal, and Zaneta Swiderska-Chadaj. Automatic Generation of Graphical Game Assets Using GAN. 13 July 2021, https://doi.org/10.1145/3477911.3477913.
- [40] L. Jiao and J. Zhao, "A Survey on the New Generation of Deep Learning in Image Processing," in IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 172231-172263, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2956508.
- [41] L. Wang, W. Chen, W. Yang, F. Bi and F. R. Yu, "A State-of-the-Art Review on Image Synthesis With Generative Adversarial Networks," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 63514-63537, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2982224.
- [42] Shorten, Connor, and Taghi M. Khoshgoftaar. "A Survey on Image Data Augmentation for Deep Learning." Journal of Big Data, vol. 6, no. 1, 6 July 2019, journalofbigdata.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s40537-019-0197-0, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-019-0197-0.
- [43] Kayalibay, Baris, et al. "CNN-Based Segmentation of Medical Imaging Data." ArXiv:1701.03056 [Cs], 25 July 2017, arxiv.org/abs/1701.03056.

- [44] Jain, M., & None Arjun Srihari. (2023). House price prediction with Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 8(1), 405–415. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2023.8.1.0048
- [45] Jain, M., & Arjun Srihari. (2024b). Comparison of Machine Learning Algorithm in Intrusion Detection Systems: A Review Using Binary Logistic Regression. International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing, 13(10), 45–53. https://doi.org/10.47760/ijcsmc.2024.v13i10.005
- [46] Jain, M., & Shah, A. (2022). Machine Learning with Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) in Seismology for Earthquake Prediction. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 5(8), 389–398. https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1707057
- [47] Jain, M., & Arjun Srihari. (2024). Comparison of CAD Detection of Mammogram with SVM and CNN. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 8(6), 63–75. https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1706647
- [48] Kaushik, P., & Jain, M. A Low Power SRAM Cell for High Speed Applications Using 90nm Technology. Csjournals. Com, 10. https://www.csjournals.com/IJEE/PDF10-2/66.%20Puneet.pdf
- [49] Jain, M., & Arjun Srihari. (2024b). Comparison of Machine Learning Models for Stress Detection from Sensor Data Using Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Networks and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs). International Journal of Scientific Research and Management (IJSRM), 12(12), 1775–1792. https://doi.org/10.18535/ijsrm/v12i12.ec02
- [50] Kaushik, P., & Jain, M. (2018). Design of low power CMOS low pass filter for biomedical application. International Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology (IJEET), 9(5).
- [51] Jain, M., & Shah, A. (2024). Anomaly Detection Using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN). ESP International Journal of Advancements in Computational Technology (ESP-IJACT), 2(3), 12–22. https://www.espjournals.org/IJACT/ijact-v2i3p102
- [52] Kumar, Y., Saini, S., & Payal, R. (2020). Comparative Analysis for Fraud Detection Using Logistic Regression, Random Forest and Support Vector Machine. SSRN Electronic Journal.
- [53] Höppner, S., Baesens, B., Verbeke, W., & Verdonck, T. (2020). Instance-Dependent Cost-Sensitive Learning for Detecting Transfer Fraud. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.02488.
- [54] Niu, X., Wang, L., & Yang, X. (2019). A Comparison Study of Credit Card Fraud Detection: Supervised versus Unsupervised. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.10604.
- [55] Bhat, N. (2019). Fraud detection: Feature selection-over sampling. Kaggle. Retrieved from https://www.kaggle.com/code/nareshbhat/fraud-detection-feature-selection-over-sampling
- [56] InsiderFinance Wire. (2021). Logistic regression: A simple powerhouse in fraud detection. Medium. Retrieved from https://wire.insiderfinance.io/logistic-regression-a-simple-powerhouse-in-fraud-detection-15ab984b2102
- [57] Olaitan, V. O. (2020). Feature-based selection technique for credit card fraud detection. Master's Thesis, National College of Ireland. Retrieved from https://norma.ncirl.ie/5122/1/olaitanvictoriaolanlokun.pdf
- [58] Raymaekers, J., Verbeke, W., & Verdonck, T. (2021). Weight-of-evidence 2.0 with shrinkage and spline-binning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.01494. Retrieved from https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.01494
- [59] Dal Pozzolo, A., Boracchi, G., Caelen, O., Alippi, C., & Bontempi, G. (2017). Credit card fraud detection: A realistic modeling and a novel learning strategy. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, 29(8), 3784–3797. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2017.2736643
- [60] Carcillo, F., Dal Pozzolo, A., Le Borgne, Y. A., Caelen, O., Mazzer, Y., & Bontempi, G. (2019). Scarff: A scalable framework for streaming credit card fraud detection with spark. Information Fusion, 41, 182–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2017.09.005
- [61] West, J., & Bhattacharya, M. (2016). Intelligent financial fraud detection: A comprehensive review. Computers & Security, 57, 47–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2015.09.005
- [62] Mohit Jain, Arjun Srihari (2024). Comparison of Machine Learning Models for Stress Detection from Sensor Data Using Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Networks and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs). https://ijsrm.net/index.php/ijsrm/article/view/5912/3680 https://doi.org/10.18535/ijsrm/v12i12.ec02

- [63] Zareapoor, M., & Shamsolmoali, P. (2015). Application of credit card fraud detection: Based on bagging ensemble classifier. Procedia Computer Science, 48, 679–685. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.04.201
- [64] Mohit Jain, Adit Shah (2024). Anomaly Detection Using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN). ESP International Journal of Advancements in Computational Technology. https://www.espjournals.org/IJACT/2024/Volume2-Issue3/IJACT-V2I3P102.pdf
- [65] Bhattacharyya, S., Jha, S., Tharakunnel, K., & Westland, J. C. (2011). Data mining for credit card fraud: A comparative study. Decision Support Systems, 50(3), 602–613. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2010.08.008
- [66] Mohit Jain and Arjun Srihari (2023). House price prediction with Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). https://wjaets.com/sites/default/files/WJAETS-2023-0048.pdf
- [67] Patel, H., & Zaveri, M. (2011). Credit card fraud detection using neural network. International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering, 1(2), 1–6. https://www.ijircce.com/upload/2011/october/1_Credit.pdf
- [68] Puneet Kaushik, Mohit Jain, Gayatri Patidar, Paradayil Rhea Eapen, Chandra Prabha Sharma (2018). Smart Floor Cleaning Robot Using Android. International Journal of Electronics Engineering. https://www.csjournals.com/IJEE/PDF10-2/64.%20Puneet.pdf
- [69] Duman, E., & Ozcelik, M. H. (2011). Detecting credit card fraud by genetic algorithm and scatter search. Expert Systems with Applications, 38(10), 13057–13063. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.04.102
- [70] Puneet Kaushik, Mohit Jain. "A Low Power SRAM Cell for High Speed ApplicationsUsing 90nm Technology." Csjournals.Com 10, no. 2 (December 2018): 6.https://www.csjournals.com/IJEE/PDF10-2/66.%20Puneet.pdf
- [71] Jain, M., & Srihari, A. (2021). Comparison of CAD detection of mammogram with SVM and CNN. IRE Journals, 8(6), 63-75. https://www.irejournals.com/formatedpaper/1706647.pdf
- [72] Kaushik, P., Jain, M., & Jain, A. (2018). A pixel-based digital medical images protection using genetic algorithm. International Journal of Electronics and Communication Engineering, 31-37. http://www.irphouse.com/ijece18/ijecev11n1_05.pdf
- [73] Kaushik, P., Jain, M., & Shah, A. (2018). A Low Power Low Voltage CMOS Based Operational Transconductance Amplifier for Biomedical Application. https://ijsetr.com/uploads/136245IJSETR17012-283.pdf
- [74] Jain, M., & Shah, A. (2022). Machine Learning with Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) in Seismology for Earthquake Prediction. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 5(8), 389–398. https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1707057
- [75] Kaushik, P., & Jain, M. (2018). Design of low power CMOS low pass filter for biomedical application. International Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology (IJEET), 9(5).