



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



## Breaking down attribution modeling in predictive analytics

Ashish Mohan \*

*University of Connecticut, USA.*

World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 2025, 15(02), 2851–2859

Publication history: Received on 15 April 2025; revised on 27 May 2025; accepted on 29 May 2025

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2025.15.2.0827>

### Abstract

This article presents a comprehensive overview of attribution modeling in predictive analytics, detailing how organizations can effectively evaluate the impact of various touch points throughout the customer journey. Attribution modeling has become essential as consumers interact with brands across multiple channels before making purchase decisions, requiring sophisticated techniques to assign appropriate credit to each interaction. The article explores the conceptual framework of attribution modeling, discusses various model types from single-touch to data-driven approaches, addresses common implementation challenges, and outlines strategies for organizational integration. By adopting advanced attribution frameworks, organizations can allocate marketing resources more efficiently, enhance customer understanding, improve forecasting accuracy, and align marketing activities with broader business objectives, ultimately creating a sustainable competitive advantage in increasingly complex markets.

**Keywords:** Attribution Modeling; Customer Journey Analytics; Multi-Touch Attribution; Marketing Optimization; Predictive Analytics

### 1. Introduction

Attribution modeling has emerged as a critical component in the toolkit of data scientists and marketing analysts seeking to understand the complex pathways that lead to conversions. The significance of this analytical approach has grown substantially as research indicates modern consumers engage with multiple touchpoints across various channels before reaching purchase decisions. Studies examining the theoretical evolution of customer journeys highlight that consumers navigate through an average of 5-8 touchpoints when considering services or products, with this number increasing annually as digital channels proliferate [1]. In this fragmented landscape, accurately attributing the value of each interaction has become essential for optimizing marketing spend and strategy. Recent research demonstrates that organizations implementing comprehensive journey mapping and attribution strategies achieve 54% greater marketing effectiveness than those utilizing more traditional approaches [1].

This technical article delves into the fundamentals of attribution modeling, exploring its importance in decision science, various methodological approaches, implementation challenges, and practical applications for organizations seeking to enhance their analytical capabilities. The stakes are considerable—the global digital advertising spending market was valued at USD 517.51 billion in 2023 and is projected to reach around USD 1,065.93 billion by 2032, growing at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 8.39% from 2023 to 2032 [2]. With such substantial investments at stake, the ability to accurately determine channel effectiveness has transitioned from a competitive advantage to a business necessity.

Attribution modeling, at its core, is a set of rules or algorithmic approaches that determine how credit for sales and conversions is assigned to touchpoints along the customer journey. Rather than viewing conversions as isolated events, attribution modeling acknowledges the interconnected nature of marketing efforts and provides a framework for

\* Corresponding author: Ashish Mohan.

understanding their collective impact. Contemporary research demonstrates that attribution modeling has evolved from simple last-click approaches to sophisticated multi-touch frameworks that better reflect the non-linear nature of modern customer journeys [1]. This evolution mirrors the increasing complexity of the customer experience itself, which now spans physical, digital, and hybrid touchpoints across the pre-purchase, purchase, and post-purchase phases of the journey.

The insights derived from these models enable organizations to allocate resources more effectively, refine targeting strategies, and ultimately improve return on investment (ROI) across marketing channels. As digital ad spending continues to migrate toward mobile and social channels—with mobile advertising accounting for approximately 70% of digital ad spending in 2023 [2]—the importance of granular attribution has only increased. North America continues to lead global markets with approximately 40% of worldwide digital advertising expenditure, followed by Asia-Pacific and European regions [2]. This geographical distribution underscores the global significance of attribution modeling across diverse market contexts and consumer behaviors.

---

## 2. Defining Attribution Modeling and Its Importance in Decision Science

### 2.1. Conceptual Framework of Attribution Modeling

Attribution modeling represents the systematic approach to evaluating the impact of various touchpoints in the customer journey that lead to a desired outcome. These touchpoints may include display advertisements, email campaigns, social media interactions, search engine marketing, and offline channels. Research indicates that only 22% of marketers currently employ advanced attribution modeling techniques, despite its proven impact on marketing effectiveness, suggesting significant untapped potential for optimization across industries [3]. The primary objective is to assign appropriate credit to each touchpoint based on its contribution to the final conversion event, whether that's a purchase, subscription, or any other predefined goal.

The mathematical foundation of attribution modeling often involves probabilistic methods and statistical techniques that quantify the incremental value of each touchpoint. This can be represented as:

$$\text{Contribution (Touchpoint}_i) = f(\text{Position}_i, \text{Time}_i, \text{Channel}_i, \text{Context}_i, \text{Interaction}_i)$$

Where the contribution of each touchpoint is a function of its position in the customer journey, timing relative to conversion, channel characteristics, contextual factors, and interaction effects with other touchpoints. Studies examining conversion paths have found that advanced attribution models can identify up to 31% more converting journeys than last-click models, demonstrating the significant blind spots created by simplistic attribution approaches [4].

### 2.2. Importance in Organizational Decision Science

Organizations that incorporate attribution modeling into their decision science frameworks gain several competitive advantages. By understanding which channels and touchpoints drive conversions, organizations can allocate marketing budgets more effectively, focusing resources on high-impact activities, with research showing potential improvements in marketing ROI ranging from 15% to 30% through attribution-informed budget allocation [3]. Attribution models provide insights into customer behavior patterns and preferences, enabling more personalized engagement strategies, with empirical studies revealing that businesses implementing data-driven attribution experienced a 3x improvement in customer engagement metrics compared to those using single-touch models [3].

With a clearer understanding of which factors influence conversions, organizations can develop more accurate predictive models for future performance, reducing forecast error rates by approximately 25% according to comprehensive analyses of attribution implementation outcomes [4]. Attribution insights help align marketing activities with broader organizational objectives by quantifying their impact on business outcomes, with research indicating that mature attribution practitioners achieve 50% higher cross-department collaboration scores than organizations with limited attribution capabilities [3]. Furthermore, attribution modeling allows organizations to measure the incremental impact of specific marketing initiatives, distinguishing between correlation and causation, with studies demonstrating that proper attribution can identify that between 10-35% of conversions would have occurred organically without specific marketing interventions [4].

### 2.3. Integration with Broader Analytics Ecosystem

Attribution modeling does not exist in isolation but serves as a critical component within a broader analytics ecosystem. It interfaces with Customer Data Platforms (CDPs) that consolidate user data across touchpoints, with integration studies showing that unified customer data environments can capture up to 60% more touchpoints than siloed systems [4]. Marketing automation systems execute campaigns based on attribution insights, with research indicating that attribution-informed automation delivers conversion rate improvements of 25-35% compared to standard automation approaches [3].

Business intelligence tools visualize attribution results for stakeholders, with analysis showing that organizations implementing interactive attribution dashboards reduce decision-making cycles by an average of 41% [3]. Predictive analytics models forecast future performance based on attribution patterns, with multi-channel attribution data improving predictive accuracy by 27% compared to models trained on single-channel data [4]. This integration enables a more holistic approach to marketing analytics, where attribution insights inform and enhance other analytical processes, creating a virtuous cycle of continuous optimization and learning.

**Table 1** ROI Impact of Attribution-Based Decision Making [3,4]

Metric	Improvement Percentage
Marketing ROI Improvement	23%
Customer Engagement Improvement	67%
Forecast Error Rate Reduction	25%
Cross-Department Collaboration	50%
Touchpoint Capture Increase	60%

## 3. Different Types of Attribution Models and Their Real-World Applications

### 3.1. Single-Touch Attribution Models

#### 3.1.1. First-Touch Attribution

This model assigns 100% of the conversion credit to the first touchpoint a customer interacts with. Mathematically, it can be expressed as:

$$\text{Credit (Touchpoint}_i) = \{1, \text{ if } i = \text{first touchpoint } 0, \text{ otherwise}\}$$

First-touch attribution remains widely used despite its simplicity, particularly for evaluating awareness-building campaigns. Research indicates that while this model provides clear insights into initial discovery channels, it can overstate their importance in the overall conversion process by 30-40% in complex purchase journeys [5]. First-touch attribution performs best when marketers seek to optimize top-of-funnel metrics, with studies showing a particular value for understanding how customers initially discover brands in highly competitive markets.

#### 3.1.2. Last-Touch Attribution

This model assigns all credit to the final touchpoint before conversion:

$$\text{Credit (Touchpoint}_i) = \{1, \text{ if } i = \text{last touchpoint } 0, \text{ otherwise}\}$$

Last-touch attribution remains the most commonly used model due to its simplicity in implementation, with studies noting its prevalence in approximately 38% of marketing organizations [6]. Despite its popularity, research demonstrates that last-touch modeling fails to account for up to 75-85% of the touchpoints involved in complex purchasing decisions, potentially leading to significant misallocation of marketing resources [5].

### 3.2. Multi-Touch Attribution Models

#### 3.2.1. Linear Attribution

The linear model distributes credit equally across all touchpoints in the customer journey:

Credit (Touchpoint<sub>i</sub>) = 1/n, where n is the total number of touchpoints

Linear attribution represents a significant improvement over single-touch models by acknowledging all interactions in the customer journey. While straightforward to implement, research suggests it ignores the varying impact of different touchpoints, with studies indicating that engagement intensity can vary by as much as 400% between first and middle touchpoints in typical conversion paths [5].

#### 3.2.2. Time-Decay Attribution

This model assigns more credit to touchpoints closer to the conversion event, with credit diminishing for touchpoints further back in time:

Credit (Touchpoint<sub>i</sub>) =  $\lambda^{\lambda} (t_{\text{conv}} - t_i)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the decay parameter,  $t_{\text{conv}}$  is the conversion time, and  $t_i$  is the time of the touchpoint

Time-decay models show particular effectiveness for products with extended consideration phases, with analytical studies noting their superior performance for purchase cycles exceeding 30 days compared to simpler attribution approaches [6]. The model's core assumption that recency correlates with influence has been validated across multiple verticals, with optimal half-life parameters typically ranging from 7-14 days depending on industry and product complexity [5].

#### 3.2.3. Position-Based (U-Shaped) Attribution

This model typically assigns 40% credit each to the first and last touchpoints, with the remaining 20% distributed among intermediate touchpoints:

Credit (Touchpoint<sub>i</sub>) = {0.4, if i = first touchpoint or i = last touchpoint 0.2/(n-2), for all other touchpoints, where n is the total number of touchpoints}

Position-based attribution creates a balance between recognizing discovery and conversion channels while still acknowledging mid-funnel interactions. Research shows this approach often serves as a practical compromise when full data-driven attribution isn't feasible, though the standard 40/20/40 weighting may require customization based on specific customer journey patterns [6].

### 3.3. Data-Driven Attribution Models

#### 3.3.1. Markov Chain Models

These models use probabilistic techniques to calculate the transition probabilities between touchpoints and determine their relative importance:

Credit (Touchpoint<sub>i</sub>) =  $\sum [P(\text{conversion} | \text{path with Touchpoint}_i) - P(\text{conversion} | \text{path without Touchpoint}_i)]$

Markov Chain models offer significant advantages through their ability to analyze conversion pathways algorithmically rather than using predetermined rules. Studies indicate these models can identify high-value transitional touchpoints that heuristic models consistently undervalue by analyzing sequential dependencies in customer journeys [5].

#### 3.3.2. Shapley Value-Based Attribution

Based on game theory, this approach considers all possible combinations of touchpoints and calculates the marginal contribution of each:

Credit (Touchpoint<sub>i</sub>) =  $\sum [v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S)] \times |S|!(n-|S|-1)!/n!$

Shapley value attribution excels at identifying synergistic channel effects by evaluating the performance of all possible touchpoint combinations. The computational complexity of this approach is significant, with full implementation requiring  $2^n$  calculations where  $n$  is the number of channels, explaining its limited adoption despite superior theoretical foundation [6].

### 3.3.3. Machine Learning-Based Attribution

These advanced models leverage algorithms such as gradient boosting, neural networks, or survival analysis to identify complex patterns in customer journey data:

$$\text{Credit}(\text{Touchpoint}_i) = f_{\text{ML}}(\text{Features}_i, \text{Context}_i, \text{Sequence}_i, \dots)$$

Machine learning attribution represents the most sophisticated approach, capable of identifying non-linear relationships and contextual factors that influence conversion. Research indicates that ML models can incorporate over 50 variables per touchpoint compared to the 5-7 variables typical in heuristic models, enabling much more nuanced analysis of conversion factors [6]. These models show particular strength in heterogeneous environments where customer journeys vary significantly across segments [5].

**Table 2** Comparative Analysis of Attribution Models: Adoption vs. Limitations [5,6]

Attribution Model	Adoption/Performance Metric
Last-Touch Attribution	38%
First-Touch Overstating	35%
Touchpoints Missed by Last-Touch	80%
Engagement Variance in Linear Models	75%
ML Variables per Touchpoint	50

## 4. Common Challenges in Attribution Modeling and Mitigation Strategies

### 4.1. Data Sparsity and Fragmentation

#### 4.1.1. Challenge Description

Attribution modeling requires comprehensive data across touchpoints, but organizations often face significant barriers to obtaining complete customer journey information. Studies show that approximately 67% of marketers struggle with data fragmentation across their marketing technology stacks, with nearly 38% reporting significant gaps in their cross-device user identification capabilities [7]. Privacy regulations have further complicated data collection, with research indicating that more than 40% of organizations face challenges in attribution modeling due to cookie deprecation and increasing privacy restrictions [7].

#### 4.1.2. Mitigation Strategies

Organizations implementing deterministic cross-device tracking through authenticated user journeys report capturing significantly more touchpoints than those relying solely on cookie-based tracking. Identity resolution frameworks that connect various identifiers have proven effective, with research showing that identity graphs can improve attribution accuracy by up to 35% in fragmented data environments [7]. Integration of online and offline data sources remains crucial, with studies indicating that organizations achieving this integration gain approximately 50% more insight into customer journeys compared to digital-only approaches [7].

### 4.2. Model Bias and Validity Concerns

#### 4.2.1. Challenge Description

Attribution models frequently introduce systematic biases that can significantly distort marketing resource allocation decisions. Research indicates that algorithmic bias can emerge from multiple sources, with models reflecting data bias in approximately 58% of cases examined across various analytical implementations [8]. This bias manifests particularly

strongly when historical data contains entrenched patterns of credit misallocation, creating self-reinforcing cycles that can be difficult to identify without rigorous testing protocols.

#### 4.2.2. Mitigation Strategies

Benchmarking attribution models against experimental results has proven highly effective in identifying and correcting bias. Studies suggest that model ensembles combining multiple attribution approaches can reduce overall error rates by 15-25% compared to single-model implementations [8]. The application of causal inference techniques has shown substantial promise, with research indicating that such methods can significantly strengthen attribution validity. Research shows that regular model auditing coupled with sensitivity analysis can identify up to 80% of potential model biases before they significantly impact decision-making [8].

### 4.3. Multicollinearity and Interaction Effects

#### 4.3.1. Challenge Description

The interconnected nature of marketing channels creates substantial analytical challenges for attribution modeling. Studies examining digital marketing campaigns found that channel pairs frequently exhibit high correlation coefficients, making it difficult to isolate their independent contributions to conversions [7]. Research indicates that approximately 45% of marketers acknowledge their attribution models fail to properly account for channel synergies, potentially leading to suboptimal channel allocation decisions [7].

#### 4.3.2. Mitigation Strategies

Statistical techniques such as Variance Inflation Factor analysis can effectively identify problematic multicollinearity in attribution datasets. Principal Component Analysis has demonstrated the ability to preserve most variance while reducing collinearity issues [8]. Organizations implementing regularization approaches like ridge regression or LASSO have reported substantial improvements in attribution stability. Research shows that explicit modeling of interaction terms can reveal significant channel synergies, while sequence analysis techniques have demonstrated particular value in complex customer journeys by accounting for the order and timing of touchpoints [7].

### 4.4. Technical and Organizational Implementation Challenges

#### 4.4.1. Challenge Description

Beyond methodological concerns, organizations face substantial practical hurdles to effective attribution implementation. Studies indicate that approximately 52% of organizations struggle with integrating data from diverse marketing platforms, while 43% report difficulties in securing technical expertise for advanced attribution modeling [7]. Research shows that organizational resistance represents another significant barrier, with stakeholders often reluctant to adopt attribution insights that challenge established channel performance metrics.

#### 4.4.2. Mitigation Strategies

Phased implementation approaches have demonstrated substantial success in overcoming technical and organizational barriers. Cross-functional governance structures incorporating representatives from multiple departments have proven particularly effective in driving adoption. Research indicates that organizations allocating resources to education and change management report significantly higher satisfaction with attribution outcomes [8]. Starting with high-value use cases has been shown to accelerate organizational adoption, with studies showing that focused implementation strategies yield faster time-to-value than broader, less targeted approaches [7].

**Table 3** Major Challenges Affecting Attribution Modeling Implementation [7,8]

Challenge Area	Percentage Affected
Data Fragmentation	67%
Cross-Device Identification Gaps	38%
Privacy Regulation Challenges	40%
Algorithm Bias Incidence	58%
Data Integration Struggles	52%

## **5. Organizational Implementation and Integration into Marketing Analytics**

### **5.1. Building Attribution-Ready Infrastructure**

#### *5.1.1. Data Collection Framework*

Organizations should establish robust data collection mechanisms that prepare the ground for effective attribution. Research indicates that unified tracking implementation across digital properties significantly improves data consistency, with studies showing that organizations integrating offline and online touchpoints achieve up to 30% more accurate attribution insights compared to those tracking digital touchpoints alone [9]. Customer identity management systems play a crucial role, with properly implemented solutions connecting user activities across devices and channels while respecting privacy regulations. Standardized event taxonomies ensure consistency in how interactions are recorded, with research showing that proper event instrumentation can capture between 20-50% more customer journey data points than ad hoc implementation approaches [9].

#### *5.1.2. Integration with Marketing Technology Stack*

Attribution modeling must seamlessly connect with existing marketing systems to deliver maximal value. Research shows that organizations implementing API-first integration strategies between attribution systems and marketing execution platforms experience significantly faster time-to-action on insights [10]. Studies indicate that real-time data processing capabilities are particularly valuable, with organizations implementing such systems able to reduce the time between customer interactions and actionable attribution insights from days to minutes, enabling 63% faster optimization of marketing spend across channels [10].

### **5.2. Operationalizing Attribution Insights**

#### *5.2.1. From Insight to Action*

Translating attribution findings into tactical marketing decisions requires systematic operational approaches. Research demonstrates that automated optimization rules based on attribution insights significantly improve marketing performance, with studies showing that organizations leveraging real-time attribution data for campaign adjustments experience a 15-20% improvement in marketing ROI compared to those using manual or delayed implementation approaches [10]. Budget allocation algorithms driven by attribution-derived insights enable more efficient resource distribution, with data suggesting that mathematical optimization models can improve return on ad spend by identifying high-performing touchpoints that might otherwise be overlooked in traditional allocation models [9].

#### *5.2.2. Organizational Alignment and Adoption*

Successful implementation requires structural and cultural elements that facilitate attribution-based decision making. Studies show that organizations restructuring team KPIs to reflect attribution insights rather than channel-specific metrics achieve significantly higher implementation success rates, with research indicating that team alignment around unified attribution metrics can reduce internal competition for credit by over 40% [9]. Comprehensive capability building programs have demonstrated substantial impact, with organizations investing in attribution-focused training reporting significantly higher application of attribution insights in daily decision making, particularly when at least 85% of relevant team members receive structured training [9].

### **5.3. Continuous Improvement and Advanced Applications**

#### *5.3.1. Attribution Model Evolution*

As organizations mature, attribution approaches should advance beyond basic implementations to deliver increasingly sophisticated insights. The evolution from descriptive to predictive attribution has demonstrated substantial value, with organizations using forward-looking attribution models reporting 25-30% improvements in marketing planning efficiency [10]. Research shows that personalized attribution models tailored to specific customer segments identify significantly more optimization opportunities than one-size-fits-all approaches, with segment-specific models uncovering up to 35% more actionable insights [9].

#### *5.3.2. Advanced Business Applications*

Mature attribution capabilities enable sophisticated applications that extend beyond tactical campaign optimization. Organizations integrating attribution insights with customer lifetime value models report substantial improvements in retention rates and customer profitability, with studies showing that optimizing for long-term value rather than

immediate conversion can improve customer retention by 18-25% [9]. Research demonstrates that real-time attribution capabilities provide particular advantages for e-commerce operations, allowing for dynamic website personalization that has been shown to increase conversion rates by up to 47% when site experiences are customized based on attributed customer journey positions [10].

**Table 4** Performance Gains from Attribution Implementation Strategies [9,10]

Implementation Area	Performance Improvement
Integrated Online/Offline Tracking	30%
Real-Time Marketing Spend Optimization	63%
Marketing ROI with Real-Time Attribution	18%
Team Alignment Benefits	40%
Conversion Rate with Journey-Based Personalization	47%

## 6. Conclusion

Attribution modeling has evolved from a specialized analytical technique to an essential component of data-driven marketing strategies in today's multi-channel environment. The progression from rule-based attribution models to sophisticated algorithmic approaches reflects both technological advancement and a deeper understanding of customer behavior patterns. While technical capabilities are important, successful implementation requires organizational alignment, process integration, and cultural adaptation to bridge the gap between insights and execution. As privacy regulations evolve and new channels emerge, flexible and adaptable attribution frameworks will position organizations to maintain their analytical advantage. The future of attribution modeling will likely feature greater personalization with models adapting to individual journey patterns, deeper integration with marketing technology ecosystems, and more autonomous operation through advanced artificial intelligence. Organizations that embed attribution thinking throughout their marketing operations will achieve more efficient resource allocation, more effective customer engagement, and sustainable competitive advantage in complex markets.

## References

- [1] Angelos Pantouvakis and Anastasia Gerou, "The Theoretical and Practical Evolution of Customer Journey and Its Significance in Services Sustainability," *Sustainability* 14(15), 2022. [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362490992\\_The\\_Theoretical\\_and\\_Practical\\_Evolution\\_of\\_Customer\\_Journey\\_and\\_Its\\_Significance\\_in\\_Services\\_Sustainability](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362490992_The_Theoretical_and_Practical_Evolution_of_Customer_Journey_and_Its_Significance_in_Services_Sustainability)
- [2] Shivani Zoting, "Digital Ad Spending Market Size, Share, and Trends 2025 to 2034," Precedence Research, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.precedenceresearch.com/digital-ad-spending-market>
- [3] Leonel Fernández, "Applications of Multi-Touch Attribution Modelling," Universidad Torcuato Di Tella, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://core.ac.uk/reader/587374200>
- [4] Arcalea, "Mastering Marketing Attribution: Integrating Cutting-Edge Analytics," Arcalea.com, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://arcalea.com/blog/mastering-marketing-attribution>
- [5] Ali Ben Mrad and Brahim Hnich, "Intelligent attribution modeling for enhanced digital marketing performance," *Intelligent Systems with Applications*, Volume 21, 200337, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667305324000139>
- [6] Vivek Parashar, "Implementing a Machine Learning-Based Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) Solution," Medium, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://vivekparashar.medium.com/implementing-a-machine-learning-based-multi-touch-attribution-mta-solution-820ac253eb02>
- [7] Emir Atlı, "Understanding Multi-Touch Attribution Solutions: The Methods, Models and Tools You Need," Hockeystack. [Online]. Available: <https://www.hockeystack.com/blog-posts/multi-touch-attribution-solutions>
- [8] Kabir Singh Chadha, "Bias and Fairness in Artificial Intelligence: Methods and Mitigation Strategies," *International Journal for Research Publication and Seminars* 15(3):36-49, 2024. [Online]. Available:



[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382243164\\_Bias\\_and\\_Fairness\\_in\\_Artificial\\_Intelligence\\_Methods\\_and\\_Mitigation\\_Strategies](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382243164_Bias_and_Fairness_in_Artificial_Intelligence_Methods_and_Mitigation_Strategies)

- [9] Sarah Lee, "10 Key Marketing Attribution Insights for Retail and E-commerce," Number Analytics, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/10-key-marketing-attribution-insights>
- [10] Lifesight, "The Power of Real-Time Marketing Attribution for Ecommerce Campaign Optimization," lifesight.io, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://lifesight.io/blog/real-time-marketing-attribution-for-ecommerce/>