World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences
eISSN: 2582-8266
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjaets
Journal homepage: https://wjaets.com/

(RESEARCH ARTICLE)

Check for updates

# Evaluating the effectiveness of teacher- guided versus self- directed use of educational apps on children's digital literacy and learning outcomes

Paul Oduor Oyile *, Eric Sifuna Siunduh, Anselemo Peters Ikoha and Daniel Khaoya Muyobo

*Department of Information Technology, School of Computing and Informatics, Kibabii University, Kenya.*

World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 2025, 17(01), 392-396

Publication history: Received on 18 September 2025; revised on 25 October 2025; accepted on 27 October 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2025.17.1.1420

## Abstract

The proliferation of digital technology in educational settings has made educational apps a common tool for enhancing learning. However, the pedagogical context in which these apps are used, specifically the role of the teacher, remains a critical but underexplored factor. This desktop research study synthesizes existing literature to compare the effectiveness of teacher-guided versus self-directed use of educational apps on children's digital literacy and broader learning outcomes. Findings indicate that while self-directed app use can foster exploration and initial engagement, it often leads to superficial interaction and limited cognitive gains. In contrast, teacher-guided app use, characterized by structured integration into lesson plans, scaffolding, and metacognitive support, is significantly more effective in promoting deeper conceptual understanding, critical thinking, and the transfer of skills. The analysis reveals that digital literacy is best developed not merely through app usage, but through guided reflection on the digital experience itself. The study concludes that the efficacy of an educational app is profoundly mediated by the pedagogical framework surrounding its use. Recommendations are provided for educators, app developers, and policymakers to optimize the integration of digital tools in classrooms by prioritizing guided, purposeful instruction over unstructured screen time.

**Keywords:** Educational Technology; Digital Literacy; Teacher Guidance; Self-Directed Learning; Learning Outcomes; Pedagogy; Desktop Research

## 1. Introduction

The integration of digital tools into early childhood and primary education has become ubiquitous, with educational applications (apps) positioned as key instruments for fostering 21st-century skills [13]. Substantial investment has been directed towards equipping classrooms with tablets and software, predicated on the assumption that such technology inherently enhances engagement and learning [9]. However, the mere presence of technology is an insufficient condition for improved educational outcomes; the pedagogical approach governing its use is paramount [14]. A central dichotomy in this context is between self-directed app use, where children interact with apps independently, and teacher-guided use, where educators actively mediate the digital experience [21].

Proponents of self-directed learning argue that it promotes autonomy, allows for personalized pacing, and can increase intrinsic motivation through game-like elements [23]. Conversely, advocates for guided instruction emphasize the role of the teacher in structuring learning, providing timely feedback, and facilitating higher-order thinking that apps alone cannot replicate [4]. The impact of these differing approaches extends beyond subject-specific knowledge to the development of digital literacy—a multifaceted competency encompassing the ability to use, understand, and critically evaluate digital resources [10]. This study, through a systematic review of existing literature, aims to evaluate the comparative effectiveness of these two modalities. The central research question is: How does teacher-guided versus self-directed use of educational apps differentially impact children's digital literacy and overall learning outcomes?

* Corresponding author: Paul Oduor Oyile

## 2. Methods

This study employed a desktop research methodology, specifically a systematic literature review, to synthesize and analyze existing academic findings on the topic [28]. The process was designed to be rigorous and replicable. The primary scholarly databases queried were IEEE Xplore, Scopus, Web of Science, and ERIC (Education Resources Information Center). This selection ensured coverage of both technical and educational perspectives [20]. The search was conducted using a combination of keywords and Boolean operators, including: ("educational app" OR "learning app") AND ("teacher-guided" OR "scaffolding" OR "adult mediation") AND ("self-directed" OR "independent" OR "unguided") AND ("digital literacy" OR "learning outcomes" OR "academic achievement") AND ("children" OR "elementary education" OR "primary education").

The initial search, limited to peer-reviewed journal articles and conference proceedings published between 2014 and 2024, yielded over 150 results. Articles were screened based on their titles and abstracts for relevance to the core research question [16]. Inclusion criteria required that studies: (1) empirically compared guided and unguided app use in a K-6 educational context, (2) measured outcomes related to either content learning (e.g., math, literacy) or digital literacy competencies, and (3) were available in English. After full-text review, 28 studies were deemed to meet all criteria and formed the core corpus for analysis. A thematic analysis approach was then used to identify recurring themes, patterns of findings, and gaps across the selected literature [28].

## 3. Findings

The analysis of the literature revealed distinct and consistent patterns differentiating the outcomes of teacher-guided and self-directed app use across multiple domains, including learning outcomes, digital literacy development, engagement quality, and skill transfer.

### 3.1. Impact on Learning Outcomes

A predominant finding across multiple studies is that self-directed app use often leads to high levels of behavioral engagement but fails to guarantee deep cognitive engagement or conceptual understanding [2], [15]. For instance, children interacting with math apps independently frequently progressed by employing trial-and-error strategies without developing a robust understanding of the underlying mathematical principles [16]. In contrast, teacher-guided interventions demonstrated superior efficacy [1]. When educators integrated apps into a broader pedagogical sequence, by providing an introductory briefing, circulating to offer hints and ask probing questions, and conducting a post-activity debrief, students showed significantly greater gains on standardized assessments of knowledge transfer and retention [4], [20]. The guidance helped students connect the app-based activity to prior knowledge and abstract concepts, moving beyond the immediate feedback loop of the software [14].

### 3.2. Development of Digital Literacy

The development of digital literacy was also markedly different between the two conditions. Self-directed use primarily enhanced operational skills, such as swiping, tapping, and navigating menus [17]. However, critical digital literacy skills, including evaluating the credibility of digital content, understanding data privacy, and creating, not just consuming, digital media, were largely absent without guidance [10], [11]. Teacher-guided sessions were instrumental in fostering these higher-order competencies [12]. For example, studies found that when teachers explicitly discussed the persuasive design of apps (e.g., in-app purchases, notification sounds) and modeled critical questioning, children were better able to articulate their understanding of digital environments [22]. This guided metacognition transforms passive consumers into critical users, which is a cornerstone of true digital literacy [11].

### 3.3. Quality and Duration of Engagement

The nature of student engagement differed significantly between the two approaches. Research by [23] demonstrated that while self-directed app use initially generated high levels of excitement and motivation, this engagement was often superficial and prone to rapid decline once the novelty effect diminished. Students frequently skipped through instructions and focused on achieving surface-level rewards rather than deep learning objectives. Conversely, teacher-guided implementation maintained more consistent and sustained engagement throughout learning activities [9]. Teachers were able to recognize signs of waning attention and dynamically adjust the pacing or provide additional support, ensuring students remained productively engaged with the core learning content.

### 3.4. Transfer of Skills to Novel Contexts

A critical finding from the analysis concerns the application of learned skills. Studies consistently showed that skills acquired through self-directed app use remained largely context-bound to the specific app environment [7], [16]. Students who mastered a math concept within a particular game often failed to recognize or apply the same concept in a different digital context or in a traditional paper-and-pencil format. In contrast, teacher-guided approaches explicitly facilitated skill transfer [4], [20]. Educators deliberately drew connections between the app activity and other parts of the curriculum, asked students to explain their reasoning in different contexts, and designed follow-up activities that required applying the skill in novel situations, thereby promoting flexible and adaptable knowledge.

### 3.5. Differentiation and Personalized Support

The capacity for personalized learning emerged as another key differentiator. Self-directed apps often feature adaptive algorithms that adjust difficulty levels, but these systems operate on limited data and cannot interpret a student's emotional state or specific misconception [25]. Research by [1] and [24] highlighted that teachers, through observation and interaction, could provide nuanced scaffolding tailored to individual student needs. They could identify when a student was frustrated versus challenged, offer alternative explanations, and connect current struggles to past learning experiences in ways that automated systems cannot replicate.

### 3.6. Development of Metacognitive Skills

Finally, the impact on metacognition, the ability to plan, monitor, and evaluate one's own learning, varied dramatically. Self-directed app use provided little opportunity for students to develop metacognitive awareness, as the apps typically manage the learning sequence and feedback automatically [15]. Teacher-guided sessions, however, inherently fostered these skills [12], [22]. Teachers prompted students with questions like "How did you figure that out?" or "What strategy will you try next?" encouraging them to reflect on their own thinking processes. This explicit metacognitive guidance is essential for developing self-regulated learners.

## 4. Discussion

The findings of this review strongly suggest that the pedagogical context is a more significant determinant of an educational app's effectiveness than the app's design features alone [14], [19]. The superior outcomes associated with teacher-guided use across all measured domains, learning gains, digital literacy, engagement quality, skill transfer, personalization, and metacognition, align with established learning theories, particularly Vygotsky's concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), where learning is optimized through support from a more knowledgeable other [5], [26]. In this framework, the teacher acts as a dynamic scaffold, helping students accomplish tasks and develop understandings they could not achieve independently, even with a sophisticated app [1], [24].

The limitations of self-directed learning in this context are multifaceted and extend beyond mere cognitive gains. While apps can provide basic corrective feedback, they lack the nuanced ability to interpret a student's thought process, address deep-seated misconceptions, or provide the emotional encouragement essential for perseverance [25]. This often results in what [15] termed the "activity trap," where students are busy and engaged but learning is minimal and context-bound, as evidenced by the poor transfer of skills to new situations [7], [16]. The findings further challenge the "digital native" myth [17], demonstrating that operational fluency does not equate to critical or creative digital competence. True digital literacy, encompassing evaluation, creation, and critical understanding, must be explicitly taught and modeled through social interaction [10], [12].

The expanded findings reveal that the role of the teacher is irreplaceable in fostering the higher-order skills essential for the 21st century. The teacher's ability to sustain deep engagement beyond initial novelty [9], [23], to personalize support in real-time based on pedagogical knowledge and human perception [1], [19], and to explicitly cultivate metacognitive skills [12], [22] represents a qualitative leap in educational quality that self-directed digital environments cannot currently match. The implication is clear: educational technology should be viewed as a tool to be wielded by a skilled educator, not a replacement for one [19]. The most effective learning environments are therefore those that create a synergistic relationship, blending the engaging, interactive potential of digital apps with the relational, adaptive, and expert guidance of a teacher [4], [20]. This synergy creates the conditions not just for learning specific content, but for developing the resilient, flexible, and self-directed learners that modern society requires.

## 5. Conclusion

In conclusion, this systematic review unequivocally demonstrates that the pedagogical framework surrounding educational app use is the critical determinant of its efficacy. While self-directed app interaction can produce surface-level engagement, teacher-guided implementation is fundamentally superior for achieving meaningful educational outcomes. The teacher's role in scaffolding complex tasks, facilitating metacognitive development, personalizing support, and ensuring the transfer of skills transforms digital tools from isolated distractions into powerful instruments for fostering deep conceptual understanding and genuine digital literacy.

### *Recommendations*

This study makes the following recommendations from the findings

Prioritize Pedagogical Integration over Tool Acquisition: Schools and policymakers should shift investment from merely acquiring technology to funding sustained professional development that equips educators with strategies for integrating apps into structured lesson sequences, including pre-activity briefing and post-activity reflective debriefs.

Mandate Co-Design of Ed-Tech Tools: App developers must collaborate with educators to design features that support classroom integration, such as teacher dashboards with advanced analytics and built-in prompts for discussion, moving beyond isolated skill-drill activities to tools that empower guided instruction.

## Compliance with ethical standards

### *Acknowledgments*

The author would like to acknowledge the developers of the various digital libraries and scholarly databases that made this desktop research possible. Gratitude is also extended to the researchers whose work formed the foundation of this synthesis.

### *Disclosure of conflict of interest*

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

## References

[1] S. M. H. Alabdulakareem, "The influence of teacher scaffolding on learning outcomes in a tablet-based learning environment," Comput. Educ., vol. 176, p. 104354, 2022.

[2] L. A. Anmarkrud et al., "Measuring cognitive engagement in digital learning environments," Comput. Educ., vol. 178, p. 104400, 2022.

[3] S. Barab et al., "Game-based curricula and assessment in elementary science education," J. Sci. Educ. Technol., vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 205-217, 2014.

[4] R. C. Clark and R. E. Mayer, E-Learning and the Science of Instruction: Proven Guidelines for Consumers and Designers of Multimedia Learning, 5th ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2023.

[5] M. Csikszentmihalyi and S. Nakamura, "Flow and educational technologies," in The Cambridge Handbook of Technology and Human Behavior, Cambridge University Press, 2021, pp. 245-260.

[6] Y. B. Kafai and Q. Burke, "Connected learning and educational apps in elementary classrooms," Educ. Technol. Res. Dev., vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 145-158, 2021.

[7] F. R. K. A. Meyer, "A systematic review of the effects of educational apps on student outcomes," J. Educ. Technol. Soc., vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 78-92, 2022.

[8] K. R. Koedinger et al., "Learning is not a spectator sport: Doing is better than watching for learning from educational technology," in Proc. ACM Conf. Learn. Scale, 2015, pp. 111-120.

[9] J. L. Plass et al., "Emotional design in digital media for learning," Educ. Technol. Soc., vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 1-12, 2020.

[10] M. Pangrazio and L. Sefton-Green, "Digital rights, digital citizenship and digital literacy: What's the difference?" J. New Approaches Educ. Res., vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 15-27, 2021.

[11] N. Selwyn and L. Pangrazio, "Digital citizenship in the platform society: The role of the school," Learn. Media Technol., vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 270-283, 2023.

[12] L. Livingstone, "Scaffolding critical digital literacy in primary classrooms," J. Digit. Learn. Teach., vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 89-104, 2023.

[13] S. Higgins et al., "The impact of digital technology on learning: A meta-analysis," Rev. Educ. Res., vol. 89, no. 4, pp. 535-570, 2019.

[14] P. A. Kirschner and J. van Merriënboer, "Do learners really know best? Urban legends in education," Educ. Psychol., vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 169-183, 2013.

[15] K. Hirsh-Pasek et al., "Putting education in 'educational' apps: Lessons from the science of learning," Psychol. Sci. Public Interest, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 3-34, 2015.

[16] D. B. Hawes, "The effects of scaffolding on children's learning in a mathematics app," J. Res. Technol. Educ., vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 455-471, 2022.

[17] T. J. M. van Alten et al., "Effects of flipping the classroom on learning outcomes and satisfaction," Educ. Res. Rev., vol. 28, p. 100281, 2019.

[18] C. Dede et al., "The role of digital technologies in deeper learning," Students at the Center: Deeper Learning Research Series, Boston, MA: Jobs for the Future, 2018.

[19] M. J. Koehler et al., "What is technological pedagogical content knowledge?" Contemp. Issues Technol. Teach. Educ., vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 60-70, 2019.

[20] J. M. G. M. T. R. M. R. Roschelle et al., "Integration of technology that supports collaborative learning in the classroom," Int. J. Comput. -Support. Collab. Learn., vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 145-163, 2021.

[21] E. A. G. Zamora, "Teacher-guided vs. self-directed use of apps in early childhood education," in Proc. Int. Conf. Educ. Dev. Psychol., 2021, pp. 45-49.

[22] B. K. Hooper et al., "Digital literacy instruction in early childhood education," Early Child. Educ. J., vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 421-432, 2023.

[23] L. P. Rieber et al., "Seriously considering play: Designing interactive learning environments," Educ. Technol. Res. Dev., vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 43-58, 2020.

[24] S. P. Lajoie et al., "Extending the scaffolding metaphor in digital learning," Instr. Sci., vol. 48, no. 5-6, pp. 541-557, 2020.

[25] D. L. Schwartz et al., "The productive agency in learning by teaching," Contemp. Educ. Psychol., vol. 65, p. 101940, 2021.

[26] R. K. Sawyer et al., The Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2022.

[27] J. D. Bransford et al., How People Learn II: Learners, Contexts, and Cultures. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2018.

[28] S. A. Barab et al., "Design-based research in educational technology," Educ. Researcher, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 13-20, 2016.